

# PREA Facility Audit Report: Final

**Name of Facility:** Texarkana Regional Correctional Center

**Facility Type:** Community Confinement

**Date Interim Report Submitted:** 02/06/2025

**Date Final Report Submitted:** 08/14/2025

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	<input type="checkbox"/>
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Auditor Full Name as Signed:</b> DeShane Reed	<b>Date of Signature:</b> 08/14/2025

AUDITOR INFORMATION	
<b>Auditor name:</b>	Reed, DeShane
<b>Email:</b>	dreed@drbconsultinggroup.com
<b>Start Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	11/07/2024
<b>End Date of On-Site Audit:</b>	11/08/2024

FACILITY INFORMATION	
<b>Facility name:</b>	Texarkana Regional Correctional Center
<b>Facility physical address:</b>	305 East 5th Street, Texarkana, Arkansas - 71854
<b>Facility mailing address:</b>	

Primary Contact
-----------------

<b>Name:</b>	Emmer Branch
<b>Email Address:</b>	Emmer.Branch@doc.arkansas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	870-779-4888

<b>Facility Director</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Emmer Branch
<b>Email Address:</b>	Emmer.Branch@doc.arkansas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	870-779-4888

<b>Facility PREA Compliance Manager</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Emmer Branch
<b>Email Address:</b>	emmer.branch@doc.arkansas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	(870) 779-4888

<b>Facility Health Service Administrator On-Site</b>	
<b>Name:</b>	Mary Davis (Smith)
<b>Email Address:</b>	MEDavis@Wellpath.us
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	870-779-2009

<b>Facility Characteristics</b>	
<b>Designed facility capacity:</b>	134
<b>Current population of facility:</b>	133
<b>Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b>	134
<b>Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?</b>	No
<b>What is the facility's population designation?</b>	Mens/boys

<p><b>In the past 12 months, which population(s) has the facility held? Select all that apply (Nonbinary describes a person who does not identify exclusively as a boy/man or a girl/woman. Some people also use this term to describe their gender expression. For definitions of “intersex” and “transgender,” please see <a href="https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/standard/115-5">https://www.prearesourcecenter.org/standard/115-5</a>)</b></p>	
<p><b>Age range of population:</b></p>	<p>21 - 60</p>
<p><b>Facility security levels/resident custody levels:</b></p>	<p>Minimum</p>
<p><b>Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with residents:</b></p>	<p>32</p>
<p><b>Number of individual contractors who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, currently authorized to enter the facility:</b></p>	<p>12</p>

**AGENCY INFORMATION**

<p><b>Name of agency:</b></p>	<p>Arkansas Department of Corrections</p>
<p><b>Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):</b></p>	
<p><b>Physical Address:</b></p>	<p>1302 Pike Avenue, Suite C, North Little Rock, Arkansas - 72114</p>
<p><b>Mailing Address:</b></p>	
<p><b>Telephone number:</b></p>	

**Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:**

<p><b>Name:</b></p>	<p>Lindsay Wallace</p>
---------------------	------------------------

<b>Email Address:</b>	Lindsay.Wallace@doc.arkansas.gov
<b>Telephone Number:</b>	501-682-3309

<b>Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information</b>			
<b>Name:</b>	Haley Trantham	<b>Email Address:</b>	haley.trantham@doc.arkansas.gov

<b>Facility AUDIT FINDINGS</b>	
<b>Summary of Audit Findings</b>	
<p>The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.</p> <p>Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.</p>	
<b>Number of standards exceeded:</b>	
0	
<b>Number of standards met:</b>	
41	
<b>Number of standards not met:</b>	
0	

## POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION

Please note: Question numbers may not appear sequentially as some questions are omitted from the report and used solely for internal reporting purposes.

### GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION

#### On-site Audit Dates

1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2024-11-07
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2024-11-08

#### Outreach

10. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	I contacted "Little River Hospital" for Sexual Assault to confirm SANE/SAFE for TRCC residents.

### AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION

14. Designated facility capacity:	137
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	133
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	2
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No <input type="radio"/> Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

**Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

**Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

<b>23. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:</b>	133
<b>25. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>26. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>27. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>28. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>29. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0
<b>30. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	0

<p><b>31. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>32. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>2</p>
<p><b>33. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>34. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>35. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit</b></p>	
<p><b>36. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>32</p>
<p><b>37. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>7</p>

<b>38. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b>	<p>2</p>
<b>39. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<h2>INTERVIEWS</h2>	
<h3>Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</h3>	
<h4>Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</h4>	
<b>40. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b>	<p>22</p>
<b>41. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Age</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Gender</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> None</li> </ul>
<b>If "Other," describe:</b>	<p>This auditor attempted to identify and interview TRCC residents who fit the target group per the PREA Auditor's Handbook.</p>

<p><b>42. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?</b></p>	<p>This auditor requested to view the Master Population Roster, which contains resident demographic and ethnic information. This auditor also conversed and reviewed medical documentation to identify targeted groups and establish a diverse sample of random resident interviews.</p>
<p><b>43. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>44. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>45. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>7</p>
<p>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</p>	
<p><b>47. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>

<p><b>48. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>49. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>50. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>51. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>52. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>This auditor requested to view the Master Population Roster, which contains resident demographic and ethnic information. This auditor also conversed and reviewed intake documentation to identify targeted groups and establish a diverse sample of random resident interviews. TRCC's Director, PCM, and ARDOC's Area Manager confirmed that residents are hand selected from ARDOC prisons to step down to a Re-Entry Facility. Finally, this auditor asked the selected interviewed residents to confirm that there were no residents fitting this targeted area residing at TRCC at the time of this audit.</p>
<p><b>53. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>This auditor requested to view the Master Population Roster, which contains resident demographic and ethnic information. This auditor also conversed and reviewed intake documentation to identify targeted groups and establish a diverse sample of random resident interviews. TRCC's Director, PCM, and ARDOC's Area Manager confirmed that residents are hand selected from ARDOC prisons to step down to a Re-Entry Facility. Finally, this auditor asked the selected interviewed residents to confirm that there were no residents fitting this targeted area residing at TRCC at the time of this audit.</p>

<p><b>54. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>This auditor requested to view the Master Population Roster, which contains resident demographic and ethnic information. This auditor also conversed and reviewed intake documentation to identify targeted groups and establish a diverse sample of random resident interviews. TRCC's Director, PCM, and ARDOC's Area Manager confirmed that residents are hand selected from ARDOC prisons to step down to a Re-Entry Facility. Finally, this auditor asked the selected interviewed residents to confirm that there were no residents fitting this targeted area residing at TRCC at the time of this audit.</p>
<p><b>55. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>56. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:</b></p>	<p>0</p>

<p><b>a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p><b>b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	<p>While onsite, this auditor observed that 0 resident were in the segregated cells. Also, this auditor informally asked residents if there were any segregated inmates recently released from segregated cells. Zero inmates shared that there were segregated inmates in the cells recently.</p>
<p><b>57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>Random Staff Interviews</b></p>	
<p><b>58. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>16</p>
<p><b>59. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None</p>

<p><b>If "Other," describe:</b></p>	<p>I also interviewed based on the required specialized staff criteria per the PREA Auditor's Handbook. These 16 interviewed staff include 8 specialized staff, contracted medical professionals, and volunteers.</p>
<p><b>60. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>61. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
<p><b>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</p>	
<p><b>62. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</b></p>	<p>8</p>
<p><b>63. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>64. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>
<p><b>65. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No</p>

**66. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?**

Yes

No

NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)

**67. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)**

- Agency contract administrator
- Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
- Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
- Medical staff
- Mental health staff
- Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
- Administrative (human resources) staff
- Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
- Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
- Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
- Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
- Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
- Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
- First responders, both security and non-security staff
- Intake staff

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>If "Other," provide additional specialized staff roles interviewed:</b>	I also interviewed based on the required specialized staff criteria per the PREA Auditor's Handbook.
<b>68. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:</b>	3
<b>b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
<b>69. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
<b>a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:</b>	1
<b>b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Food service <input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input type="checkbox"/> Other

<b>70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.</b>	No text provided.
---	-------------------

**SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING**

**Site Review**

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

<b>71. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes  <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

**Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:**

<b>72. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross-gender viewing and searches)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes  <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

<b>73. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes  <input type="radio"/> No
--	--

<b>74. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes  <input type="radio"/> No
---	--

<p><b>75. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p><b>76. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>
--	--------------------------

**Documentation Sampling**

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

<p><b>77. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p>
--	---

<p><b>78. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).</b></p>	<p>While onsite, I requested my own samples of documents, reviewed files while onsite, and other verification to determine compliance. This auditor also tested the PREA Internal Hotline to ensure confidential reporting. This auditor also contacted CLEST (Commission on Law Enforcement Standards Training) to verify them as external confidential reporting resource for TRCC.</p>
---	---

**SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY**

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview**

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**79. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual abuse allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	2	0	0	1
<b>Total</b>	2	0	0	1

**80. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

	<b># of sexual harassment allegations</b>	<b># of criminal investigations</b>	<b># of administrative investigations</b>	<b># of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations</b>
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

## Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

### Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for “convicted.”) Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

#### 81. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

#### 82. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse</b>	0	1	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	1	0	0

### Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term “inmate” in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**83. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0	0

**84. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
<b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment</b>	0	0	0	0
<b>Total</b>	0	0	0	0

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review**

**85. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:**

2

<p><b>86. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>87. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>88. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>89. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>90. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>91. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

<p><b>92. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review</b></p>	
<p><b>93. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>a. Explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:</b></p>	<p>ARDOC's assigned PREA Investigator and PC shared that there have been SH reports or investigations in the last 12 months. This auditor also reviewed ARDOC's investigation files through their "eOmis" (electronic offender management information system), requested to review files while onsite, and asked interviewed inmates if any sexual harassment has been reported at TRCC.</p>
<p><b>94. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>95. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>96. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

<p><b>97. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files</b></p>	
<p><b>98. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b></p>	<p>1</p>
<p><b>99. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>100. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b></p>	<p><input type="radio"/> Yes</p> <p><input checked="" type="radio"/> No</p> <p><input type="radio"/> NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</p>
<p><b>101. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</b></p>	<p>No text provided.</p>

## SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION

### DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff

102. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

- Yes
- No

### Non-certified Support Staff

103. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.

- Yes
- No

a. Enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT who provided assistance at any point during this audit:

1

## AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION

108. Who paid you to conduct this audit?

- The audited facility or its parent agency
- My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
- A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
- Other

<b>Standards</b>
<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination Definitions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)</li> <li>• Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)</li> <li>• Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Auditor Discussion Instructions</b></p> <p>Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.</p>

<b>115.211</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.211. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.211. An excerpt states, <i>“As the executive head of the Arkansas Department of Corrections (DOC), it is the responsibility of the Secretary of Corrections (Secretary) to administer the various rules, orders, or directives issued by the DOC. The purpose of this directive is to ensure that the DOC remains in compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA). The DOC has a “zero-tolerance” approach toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This directive also sets forth the DOC’s zero-tolerance approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to such conduct. The DOC will initially respond to all reports of sexualized behavior or abuse as nonconsensual, regardless of perception,</i></p>

*rumor, appearance, or participant disclosure.” Additionally, “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” states, “PREA Coordinator: Individual responsible for developing PREA training as needed, writing, revising, and updating policies and procedures involving PREA standards; annually reviewing policies for effectiveness and possible standard deficiencies; and advising staff regarding implementation and interpretation of PREA policies.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.211.

Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted ARDOC’s Organizational Chart which identified the PREA Coordinator who oversees all ARDOC’s efforts to comply with PREA Standards in all their facilities. Additionally, this PREA auditor interviewed ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections, who shared ARDOC’s commitment to PREA’s efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment within all ARDOC facilities. Additionally, this PREA Auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC). She shared the same commitment to PREA’s efforts, as well as stated that she was supported by ARDOC Secretary of Corrections and ARDOC’s Chief of Legal Council (PC’s direct report under the Secretary of Corrections). During this auditor’s interview with ARDOC’s PC, she shared the multiplicity of additional responsibilities which compete for her time as ARDOC’s PC. She shared that she is responsible for coordinating and monitoring PREA efforts throughout all 28 facilities statewide, conducting PREA Training for all new hired facility employees, tracking PREA incidents/allegations/investigations, and writing annual reports. This auditor recommended that ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections develop an Agency-level PREA Compliance Unit (PCU), or team, which consists of a minimum of two assigned ARDOC staff to be added to ARDOC PREA Coordinator’s team.

On October 1, 2024, ARDOC posted a new position, on 10/01/2024, to hire an Assistant PREA Coordinator/Administrative Analyst position. The goal is to develop ARDOC’s agency level PREA compliance team, to oversee PREA efforts throughout ARDOC facilities. ARDOC submitted their job posting, which included job duties, as evidence of compliance. On 11/25/24, ARDOC hired their Assistant PREA Coordinator/Administrative Analyst. This auditor formerly met the new team member in-person. Finally, ARDOC submitted the “welcome email” sent to all ARDOC facilities PREA Compliance Manager, informing them of their Assistant PREA Coordinator/Administrative Analyst’s new hire. See the redacted email below:

*From: -----, PREA Coordinator (DOC)  
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2024 9:33 AM  
To: ADC PREA Compliance Managers  
Subject: PREA Assistant - Welcome  
Importance: High*

*Good morning everyone,*

*Please welcome Ms. ----- to the PREA team! She will be working as my assistant on various projects as well as helping you all with compliance. Please*

*forward your monthly reports to her starting with the December 2nd report. Beginning December 9, she will be responsible for ensuring your retaliation assessments and Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews (SAIRs) are being completed in a timely manner. Furthermore, she will be my backup to any trainings I instruct. As always, feel free to reach out to me if you need anything.*

*Thank you,*

*PREA Coordinator, M.A., PhD-C*

*Agency PREA Coordinator*

*Arkansas Department of Corrections (ARDOC)*

The addition of this team member will allow for enough time for ARDOC's PREA Coordinator to effectively engage in her primary role.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.211.

<b>115.212</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.212. The Arkansas Department of Corrections submitted 53 of their contracts for confinement. Three contracts were with "Re-Entry Facilities" and 50 were with contracted jails through their "309 Program Contracts." ARDOC's "309 Contracts" are agreements between ARDOC and participating county jails within the state, to provide confinement (bed space) at local county jails for specifically screened/charged ARDOC inmates.</p> <p>This auditor reviewed the 50 "309" Jail Contracts" submitted by ARDOC's PC during their previous PREA Agency Audit. This auditor also reviewed 3 "Re-Entry Facilities" contracts during this onsite audit. The 3 contracts ARDOC has with the "Re-Entry Facilities" had language in their contracts which aligns with this PREA Standard. However, when this auditor reviewed the language in ARDOC's 50 "309 Contracts," they did not contain the language required for the contracted entities to be compliant with ALL PREA Standards. USDOJ PREA Standard 115.12 language states, "(a) A public agency that contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, shall include in any</p>

*new contract or contract renewal the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards. (b) Any new contract or contract renewal shall provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards."*

Excerpts from ARDOC's "309 Contracts" language states, *"The contractor (county) must be in compliance or have asserted the agency is working towards full compliance, with 28 CFR Part 115 (PREA)...(c) by no later than 60 calendar days after the state agencies authorization to proceed, the contractor shall develop a standard operating procedure (SOP) regarding PREA. At a minimum, the SOP shall address the following:*

- i. Emergency response to an incident of sexual abuse or assault*
- ii. Standard response protocols to reported incidents of sexual harassment*
- iii. Prohibition of all cross-gender strip searches and body cavity searches and a prohibition of all cross-gender pat down searches of female inmates by the county.*

While onsite, this auditor interviewed ARDOC's 309 Coordinator, who serves as the liaison between ARDOC and the participating "309" county jails. He shared that he cannot recall any of the 52 participating "309" county jails making efforts to be "fully compliant" with the PREA Standards. He further shared that each jail has PREA-related protocols and procedures, however, they do not comply with all PREA Standards. He stated, "Becoming fully PREA compliant is not on their radar." This auditor confirmed this while interviewing ARDOC's Agency Head, Chief of Staff, and PREA Coordinator. They also confirmed that the participating "309" jails are not PREA compliant nor making efforts to be PREA compliant jails. This auditor can confirm that 1 participating "309" county jail is compliant with PREA standards, as this auditor conducted the audit of the facility. Furthermore, currently ARDOC does not have any personnel who monitor the participating "309" county jails, to ensure that the jails are complying with the PREA standards. As a result, many of ARDOC's reoccurring "309" contracts with county jails have been in place for many years and have not had PREA monitoring oversight or a PREA Facility Audit.

This auditor recommended ARDOC revise their "309" contract language to clearly align with PREA Standard 115.212, ensuring that each contracted for confinement "309" county jail adopt and comply with PREA Standards, receiving PREA facility audits every 3 years. Additionally, this auditor recommended ARDOC assign personnel who are knowledgeable in PREA, to provide monitoring to all its participating "309" county jails, with the goal of ensuring full PREA compliance through receiving a PREA Audit.

After this auditor's recommendation, ARDOC engaged in multiple meetings with ARDOC's agency leadership, as well as multiple communications with the 50 currently participating "309" county jails. These meeting entailed ARDOC making the current 52 participating "309" county jail leaders aware of ARDOC's non-compliance with PREA Standard 115.212, informing the actions ARDOC needed to

	<p>take to move into compliance, and adjustments ARDOC will be making to their “309” county jail contracts to move into compliance. Furthermore, ARDOC amended all their current “309” county jail contracts, provided a window of opportunity for the various 50 participating county jail leaders to review, respond, sign, or opt out of ARDOC’s amended contract (which contained new contractual language/ requirements to align with the PREA Standard 115.212). ARDOC’s amended contractual language states, <i>“The COUNTY shall adhere to the standards as stated in the Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003. The DIVISION shall conduct an informal audit prior to August 19, 2025. The COUNTY shall allow access to facilities and records to the DIVISION as needed to perform the informal audit. The COUNTY shall provide to the DIVISION a certification of full compliance with the PREA prior to August 19, 2026.”</i></p> <p>On 1/15/25, ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator submitted 49 executed/signed amended contracts (uploaded in OAS) from 49 participating “309” county jails. ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator shared that, going forward, additional county jails interested in becoming a contracted “309” county jail, will have to adhere to the amended contract provisions (which includes the amended PREA language).</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.212.</p>
--	--

<b>115.213</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.213. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.213. An excerpt states, <i>“Each facility shall develop, document, and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a Staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of Staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect Offenders from Sexual Abuse.</i></p> <p>1. <i>In calculating adequate Staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, Prisons shall take into consideration:</i></p> <p>a. <i>Generally accepted detention and correctional practices;</i></p> <p>b. <i>Any judicial findings of inadequacy;</i></p>

- c. Any findings of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies;*
- d. Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies;*
- e. All components of the facility’s physical plant, including blind spots or area where Staff or Offenders may be isolated;*
- f. The composition of the Offender population;*
- g. The number and placement of supervisory Staff;*
- h. Institution programs occurring on a particular shift;*
- i. Any applicable state or local laws, regulations, or standards;*
- j. The prevalence of Substantiated and Unsubstantiated incidents of Sexual Abuse; and*
- k. Any other relevant factors.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.213.

While onsite, this auditor interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager and Captain, who shared that TRCC complies with the protocol identified in their staffing plan. They shared that when call-offs and time-offs occur, TRCC provides coverage through adjusting/rotating on-shift staffing as well as offering voluntary overtime. This allows TRCC’s staffing plan and staffing coverage to remain fulfilled without deviations. Furthermore, TRCC’s Captain shared that corrections officers are required to conduct “walkthroughs” every 30 minutes. Additionally, each hour TRCC conducts “live counts” and document each “live count” in the logbooks.

This auditor also reviewed TRCC’s “Staffing Plan” (October 1, 2024) which documented TRCC’s process of ensuring adequate staffing to protect residents from sexual abuse. TRCC’s “Staffing Plan” contains all the components which need consideration when identifying staffing needs. This auditor verified that there were no deviations from the staffing plan within the last 12 months. They explained that when there is a deviation from the staffing plan, and after all other above-mentioned alternatives have been exhausted, leadership will be contacted. This PREA auditor also reviewed the facility’s staffing roster for the past 8 months, which had adequate staffing coverage to protect TRCC residents from sexual abuse.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.213.

<b>115.215</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.215. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.215. An excerpt states, “(a) *The facility shall document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches and shall document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female Offenders.*

*(b) The facility shall not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches except in Exigent Circumstances or when performed by medical practitioners.*

*(c) For a facility whose rated capacity does not exceed 50 residents, the facility shall not permit cross-gender pat-down searches of female Offenders, absent Exigent Circumstances. Facilities shall not restrict female Offenders’ access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision.*

*(d) Offenders shall be permitted to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without Staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia except in Exigent Circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks.*

*(e) Staff of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering an Offender housing unit.*

*(f) Staff shall be prohibited from searching or physically examining a Transgender or Intersex Offender for the sole purpose of determining the Offender’s genital status.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.215.

While on-site, this PREA auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected residents. Each resident verified that both male and female officers conduct pat searches. When this auditor interviewed a random selection of 8 TRCC direct supervision staff and asked, “Which gender staff pat searches a transgender or intersex?” There were inconsistent responses from the 8 interviewed TRCC direct supervision staff. Four staff stated that because a transgender or intersex resident is placed in a male facility a male would search them. The four remaining staff either stated that it was female staff or the preference of the transgender or intersex resident regarding the gender that would search them. TRCC is an all-male facility.

This auditor interviewed a random selection of 8 direct supervision staff and asked if female staff announce prior to entering resident bathroom and sleeping quarters? Each staff member shared similar responses that they knock and announce prior to entering the rooms and bathrooms of residents. Staff members shared that announcing occurred most of the time. However, 18 out of 22 residents stated that female staff do consistently announce when the resident wings or the residents' rooms.

Further, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 TRCC residents. There was a consensus that both male and female staff pat search inmates professionally, and only male staff conduct strip searches in a separate private area. Additionally, this auditor asked each resident interviewed, *"Do you feel you have enough privacy to shower, perform bodily functions, or change your clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender being able to view your naked body, unless conducting required security rounds?"* There were 5 out of the 22 randomly selected interviewed residents who shared that they do feel that they have enough privacy to shower, use toilet, perform bodily functions, and get dressed without being viewed by non-medical staff of the opposite gender. However, there were 17 out of the 22 residents that shared that there is no privacy when showering, using the toilet, or changing their clothing without being viewed by non-medical staff of the opposite gender.

During this auditor's onsite site review/tour, this auditor observed 3 two-way mirrors in the shower rooms, in the resident toilet area and inside of the showering space of the Regional Maintenance Unit in both the East and West wings. Additionally, the shower room in the Reentry Housing Unit has a visual view for opposite gender staff viewing of residents while toileting and drying off after showering. There is also a camera within this bathroom which has a digital blot for the toilet area. This digital blotting was confirmed when this auditor went into the Main Control room and requested to see that area.

This auditor recommended the following regarding shower curtains, video monitoring, and 2-way mirrors at TRCC:

1. Remove all cameras from inside all TRCC bathrooms, which face the toilets. These are the same bathroom areas where residents dry themselves from showering.
2. Remove the 2-way mirrors facing the residents' toilets and inside the residents' showers in the Regional Maintenance location, and in both the West and East wings.
3. Add a ½ partition to the front of each bathroom entry area to prevent opposite gender viewing when staff are completing rounds in that area (as this post is not gender restricted).
4. Add a PREA curtain at the entries to the bathroom in the Reentry Housing Unit to disallow opposite gender staff from having visual access of residents on toilets and those drying off outside the shower area. This PREA shower curtain would also provide the necessary security visuals needed, as well as

privacy to those residents in the shower.

5. Digitally blot the Southeast camera as well as the Holding Cell camera to prevent opposite gender staff from seeing a resident toileting.

Also, this auditor recommended TRCC provide “*Refresher Training*” to all TRCC staff on professional conduct with transgender/intersex residents. This recommended training content should entail the following topics: defining LGBTI, professional interactions with transgender, pat/strip search procedures of transgender/intersex residents, serious considerations of transgender/intersex resident own perception of safety, allowing transgender/intersex residents to shower opposite of the other TRCC residents. TRCC’s training curriculum and acknowledgement of staff attending these trainings should be documented and provided as evidence. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.215. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager submitted staff signed/dated “*PREA Training Acknowledgement*” sheet, as evidence of TRCC staff completing “*Professionalism with LGBTI Offenders*” refresher training (dated 8/4/25 through 8/7/25). This auditor also received and reviewed the “*Professionalism with LGBTI Offenders*” training’s PowerPoint curriculum, which has the content that aligns with this standard.

TRCC’s PCM also submitted photo evidence of PREA curtains added to the bathroom entrances where toilets were exposed, as well as the entrance into the gang showering location within that bathroom. Cameras have digitally blotted on the holding cell camera, as well as on cameras facing the toilets inside the resident bathrooms. Finally, the two-way mirrors have been removed from inside the resident showers.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.215.

<b>115.216</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit

evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.216. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.216. An excerpt states, *"Each facility shall provide Offender education in formats accessible to all Offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, and for Offenders who have limited reading skills. Use of Offender interpreters for assistance in Offender education on aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment shall be prohibited except in circumstances where extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the Offender's safety."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.216.

While on site, this auditor interviewed ARDOC's PREA Coordinator. She shared that translation services are provided through ARDOC courts, who can provide interpreters via ZOOM. During interviews with direct supervision and supervisory staff, they did not know about translation access through ARDOC courts. Each shared that TRCC have a security Sergeant who could provide translation/interpretation to non-English speaking residents who are limited proficient in Spanish. Furthermore, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM shared that she could also use "Google Translate" on her cellphone for translation/interpretation. This auditor concluded that TRCC did not have a clear formal language service for translation services for limited English proficient residents.

Additionally, while on site, this auditor did observe PREA reporting postings throughout TRCC in English and Spanish. This auditor also reviewed the physical PREA Pamphlets in English and Spanish, as well as viewed PREA Resident Education videos in English, Spanish, and closed captioned for the hearing impaired. Moreover, this auditor viewed TRCC kiosk, which had TRCC's Resident Handbook in English and Spanish. TRCC's PREA Pamphlet was also on their kiosk, however it was in English but not in Spanish.

Furthermore, this auditor interviewed 2 randomly selected targeted Limited English Proficient (LEP) residents. This auditor utilized TRCC's security Sergeant to translate the interviews. Both residents were unable to confirm receiving the PREA brochure in Spanish or viewing the Spanish version of the PREA video at intake. Both further shared that they only understood PREA through other residents who speak Spanish and English. This auditor was unable to determine compliance regarding TRCC providing enough information that ensures an LEP resident understands PREA information.

Finally, this auditor interviewed ARDOC's contracted medical services provider's (WellPath) Health Services Administrator. She shared that Wellpath utilizes

*"Language Line Solutions"* services to assist with medical and mental health translation services for TRCC residents. This auditor was able to review Wellpath's contract and access code with *"Language Line Solutions."* This auditor also tested the *"Language Line Solutions"* number and access code. Once Wellpath's access code was input, this auditor was provided access to a representative to assist with various language translations.

This auditor recommended that ARDOC establish a documented formal collaborative partnership with WellPath to allow the use of Wellpath's *"Language Line Solutions"* contracted translation services for TRCC to use with limited English proficient residents. Once this documented collaboration is formalized/established, this auditor recommends TRCC facilitate an *"All Staff Training"* on the purpose and how to access the *"Language Line Solutions"* to assist with translation services for TRCC residents. Additionally, this auditor recommended that TRCC sick call forms be developed and provided in Spanish. Finally, this auditor also recommends that all LEP residents within the facility be provided *"PREA Refresher Education"* by showing the Spanish version of the PREA video, as well as providing the PREA pamphlet and the *"PREA Offender Education"* acknowledgement checklist in Spanish. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.216. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted multiple email evidence that ARDOC and Wellpath established a collaborative agreement to allow ARDOC/TRCC staff to utilize their *"Voyce Global-Language Translation Line,"* as well as procedures for use. An excerpt from the collaboration states, *"Wellpath provides Arkansas Department of Corrections with access to the language line anytime there is need. Please see attachment for instructions on the usage of Voyce Global Language Line."* Additionally, TRCC's PCM submitted Wellpath's *"Quick Reference Guide,"* which entails procedures for TRCC staff use and 4-digit TRCC-specific code. TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted signed/dated *"PREA Education Officer Acknowledgement"* sheets from TRCC's A, B, C, and D shifts as evidence of TRCC staff completing *"Voyce Global-Language Translation Line"* training (dated 12/3/24 and 12/9/24).

Finally, TRCC's PCM submitted 137 signed *"PREA Offender Education"* acknowledgement checklists and showing the PRC-approved PREA Education Video (in English and Spanish), as evidence of providing *"PREA Refresher Education"* to all TRCC residents (dated 11/11/24 through 11/16/24). This auditor also received the Spanish versions of TRCC's *"PREA Pamphlet"* and the *"PREA Offender Education"* acknowledgement checklist.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.216.

<b>115.217</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>
	<p data-bbox="280 188 983 224"><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="280 264 564 300"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 340 1484 748">This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.217. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their Arkansas Department of Corrections Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.217. An excerpt states, <i>“a. The DOC shall perform a criminal background record check before enlisting the services of any Contractor who may have contact with Offenders.</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 788 1407 904"><i>b. The DOC shall conduct criminal background record checks at least every five years of current employees and Contractors who may have contact with Offenders.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 945 1477 1016">This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.217.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1057 1484 1635">While onsite, this PREA auditor interviewed ARDOC’s site-based Human Resources Liaison. She shared that background checks are conducted on all employees, volunteers, and contractors. She further shared that background screenings include ACIC (Arkansas Crime Information Center), NCIC (National Crime Information Center) fingerprinting, Court Connect (Open Cases review system), Adult/Child Maltreatment Registry Checks, and Employee Reference Checks. This auditor asked about conducting 5-year background checks on employees and contractors, as well as conducting background check prior to employee promotions and administering “PREA Affirming Acknowledgement Disclosures” for employees. TRCC’s site-based HR Liaison shared that TRCC do conduct background checks, at minimum, every 5 years of employees or contractors beyond their initial background checks. Furthermore, she shared that TRCC has been consistent in administering their “PREA Affirming Acknowledgement Disclosures” to all employees at hire, upon promotion, or as a part of performance reviews.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1675 1477 2002">While onsite, this auditor randomly selected 10 TRCC employee files from the HR Liaison. This auditor confirmed that 10 out of 10 TRCC employees’ files reviewed had completed new background checks between 7/01/24 through 7/25/24. These new background checks are a result of this auditor’s Agency Audit recommendation to ARDOC HR Director (at Headquarters), to conduct a sweeping background re-check of all ARDOC facility staff and contractors. This recommendation was to re-establish ARDOC’s consistency in conducting initial background checks, promotional background checks and background checks at least every 5 years.</p> <p data-bbox="280 2042 1401 2078">Additionally, this auditor verified that 10 out of 10 TRCC staff completed “PREA</p>

	<p>Affirming Acknowledgement Disclosures” between the dates of 7/01/24 through 7/25/24. This auditor confirmed that 10 out of 10 TRCC employees’ files reviewed had completed “<i>PREA Affirming Acknowledgement Disclosures,</i>” administered between 7/01/24 through 7/25/24. These “<i>PREA Affirming Acknowledgement Disclosures</i>” are also a result of this auditor’s Agency Audit recommendation to ARDOC HR Director (at Headquarters), to administer sweeping “<i>PREA Affirming Acknowledgement Disclosures</i>” to all ARDOC facility staff. This recommendation was to re-establish ARDOC consistency in requiring all staff to affirm/reaffirm that they have not engaged in previous misconduct under PREA Standard 115.217.</p> <p>Finally, this auditor asked ARDOC PREA Coordinator (PC) if ARDOC discloses former employee substantiation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. ARDOC’s PC shared Arkansas’ Statute on providing references to prospective employers which states, “<i>A current or former employer may disclose the following information about a current or former employee's employment history to a prospective employer of the current or former employee upon receipt of written consent from the current or former employee:</i></p> <p><i>Date and duration of employment;</i>  <i>Current pay rate and wage history;</i>  <i>Job description and duties;</i>  <i>The last written performance evaluation prepared prior to the date of the request;</i>  <i>Attendance information;</i>  <i>Results of drug or alcohol tests administered within one (1) year prior to the request;</i>  <i>Threats of violence, harassing acts, or threatening behavior related to the workplace or directed at another employee;</i>  <i>Whether the employee was voluntarily or involuntarily separated from employment and the reasons for the separation; and</i>  <i>Whether the employee is eligible for rehire.”</i></p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.217.</p>
--	---

<b>115.218</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technology</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.218.</p>

	<p>While onsite, this auditor interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM, who shared that notable upgrades for expansion have occurred in the facility within the last three years. This auditor also interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator who also confirmed that there have been no facility upgrades in the last 3 years. Both shared that there are no plans for physical plant expansions. However, this PREA audit will assist TRCC to identify needs for video monitoring.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.218.</p>
--	--

115.221	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.221. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.221. An excerpt states, <i>“In coordination with the outside facility, the designated Staff shall request the forensic medical examination be performed by a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) or other qualified medical practitioner. The efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs shall be documented. The examination shall be at no cost to the Victim.</i></p> <p><i>Medical care and forensic medical examinations are separate and different procedures. The Victim shall have a right to refuse either. Victims may be encouraged but shall not be forced to consent to a forensic medical examination. However, the Victim may refuse consent to the forensic medical examination and still consent to and receive medical care.</i></p> <p><i>The Victim shall be offered victim advocate services. If requested, the advocate service shall be contacted and given the appropriate information in order to assist the Victim through the forensic examination and investigation process.:</i></p> <p>This auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s Coordinated Response Plan which states, <i>“If requested by the victim, a victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization, a DOC staff member will accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. Their role is to provide emotional support, crisis intervention services, information, and referrals. Please contact your facility PCM for a list of qualified agency staff members.”</i></p>

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and "Coordinated Response Plan," concluding that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.221.

While onsite, this auditor interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) and ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC). Both shared that the area where TRCC is located does not have any community-based rape crisis center or victim advocacy centers. This auditor recommended that a staff person be identified as a victim advocate if no community-based victim advocacy could be available. Both further shared that TRCC would be sending TRCC's Captain/Chief of Security and TRCC's Sergeant to receive victim advocacy specialized training on 11/13/24. On 11/13/24, ARDOC's uploaded verification that TRCC's Captain and Sergeant received specialized training through ARDOC's PREA Coordinator to be staff victim advocates. ARDOC's PC uploaded "*Sexual Assault Victim Advocacy Training Acknowledge Forms*" in OAS supplemental files, as evidence of compliance with this PREA Standard. This auditor also reviewed ARDOC's victim's advocate training PPT curriculum (50 slides), as well as accompanying supplemental individual/groups exercises and scenario exercises.

Additionally, during this auditor's interview with TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM and Captain/Chief of Security, both shared that TRCC transports victim residents to "*Little River Hospital*" for Sexual Abuse Nurse Examinations/Sexual Abuse Forensic Examinations (SANE/SAFE). TRCC's specialized trained victim advocates provide emotional support to those victims needing to go out for SANE/SAFE services. TRCC utilizes the "*Arkansas State Police*" (ASP) for all PREA sexual abuse criminal investigations.

Additionally, while on site, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 TRCC residents. When this auditor asked about their knowledge of victim advocacy services provided for sexual abuse victims at TRCC, 0 out of 22 residents knew that TRCC's Captain/Chief of Security and Sergeant were specialized trained staff victim advocates.

Finally, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 8 TRCC direct supervision staff/supervisory staff. This auditor shared a scenario with each direct supervision staff. This auditor shared a scenario of a sexual assault occurring in the shower area, the victim immediately runs out and reports the assault to the direct supervision staff. Each knew their responsibilities if they were first to be informed, notified, or observe sexual abuse/sexual harassment of a resident. However (without this auditor prompting) their duties to preserve the potential crime scene to preserve usable evidence.

This auditor recommended that all TRCC residents receive "*Refresher Education*" on who the TRCC victim advocates are in the facility, their role and purpose, and how to access them if needed. Inmates should be aware that TRCC's specialized trained Victim Advocates provide victim advocacy and emotional support to all TRCC residents. This auditor also recommended all TRCC staff receive "*Refresher Training*" on their 1st Responder responsibilities to preserve the potential crime

scene when the sexual abuse incident occurs within a time to preserve usable evidence. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.221. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted a "Memo" from TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM sharing that TRCC staff has conducting "Refresher Staff Training." The "Memo" stated,

*"On November 29, 2024, through December 6, 2024, TRCC conducted PREA training for Security Staff and Administration Staff. The PREA policy SD 2025-01 and the PREA video were reviewed with staff.*

*Information listed below:*

- *The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy*
- *Definitions*
- *Training*
  - \* *First Responders Responsibilities*
  - \* *Initial Reporting*
  - \* *Preserving the crime scene*
  - \* *Investigations*
  - \* *Medical Notification*
  - \* *PREA Notifications*
  - \* *PREA Checklist*
  - \* *Victim Advocacy*
  - \* *PREA Retaliation Assessment*
  - \* *The importance of Confidentiality*
  - \* *Internal Affairs Division (IAD)*
  - \* *Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR)"*

TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted signed/dated "PREA Education Officer Acknowledgement" sheets from TRCC's A, B, C, and D shifts as evidence of TRCC staff completing above-mentioned training.

Additionally, TRCC's PCM submitted their "End the Silence" PREA Pamphlet, which has TRCC's Specialized Trained Victim Advocate's name for resident to know and contact, if needed. TRCC's PCM also submitted 137 signed "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklists and showing the PRC-approved PREA Education Video (in English and Spanish), as evidence of providing "PREA Refresher Education" to all TRCC residents (dated 11/11/24 through 11/16/24). This auditor also received the Spanish versions of TRCC's "PREA Pamphlet" and the "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist. Finally, this auditor selected a

	<p>random selection of 25 current residents' names, who received PREA education from 3/1/25 through 8/1/25. ARDDOC's PC submitted the 25 "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklists, as evidence of compliance.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.221.</p>
--	--

<b>115.222</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.222. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.222. An excerpt states, <i>"All allegations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment shall be promptly, thoroughly, and objectively investigated, including third-party and anonymous reports. 2. A PREA investigation shall be initiated within twenty-four (24) hours of the incident upon report to the facility or DOC investigator or as soon as possible if referred for investigation to the Arkansas State Police (ASP). ASP shall be notified once the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution.</i></p> <p><i>Notifications for the purpose of an investigation shall be immediately made to the designated facility or DOC investigator. In addition, all allegations of Sexual Abuse that involve potentially criminal behavior shall be referred for criminal investigation to the Arkansas State Police (ASP)."</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)," concluding that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.222.</p> <p>While onsite, this auditor also interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. At the time of this PREA facility onsite audit, she was the only staff specialized trained to conduct administrative PREA Investigations. This auditor shared a scenario of a resident being sexually assaulted in the shower, and asked, <i>"What is the PREA Investigator's coordinated responsibilities?"</i> TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM knew her responsibilities of evidence collection, Miranda/Garrity rights, interviewing procedures, understanding victim trauma, and investigation report-writing protocols. This auditor reviewed ARDOC's "Coordinated Response Plan," which aligned with ARDOC's PREA Investigator's interview responses. Finally, this auditor reviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM PREA investigator's training acknowledgement form she submitted. This training acknowledge form verified the specialized training</p>

	<p>TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM received training through ARDOC’s 3-day “<i>Sexual Assault Investigation’s Training.</i>” This auditor also received and reviewed the 3-day specialized training curriculum, which contained all the components to align with the PREA Standard.</p> <p>Furthermore, ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator shared that ARDOC collaborates with <i>Arkansas State Police (ASP)</i>, which is responsible for conducting PREA criminal investigations. This auditor verified this partnership through a call to ASP, who verified being the entity to conduct criminal investigations for ARDOC facilities. This auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s “Coordinate Response Plan.” This plan describes the ARDOC’s responsibilities when conducting PREA administrative investigations. Finally, this auditor reviewed ARDOC’s website (<b><i>Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) - Arkansas Department of Corrections</i></b>) and observed their PREA Investigations policy which states, “<i>All allegations of sexual abuse are taken seriously. The AR DOC accepts all reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment whether made verbally, in writing, anonymously, or from third parties. All allegations will be thoroughly investigated by the PREA Coordinator. There is no time limit on when an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment can be reported.</i>”</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.222.</p>
--	---

<b>115.231</b>	<b>Employee training</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.231. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.231. An excerpt states, “<i>Staff Training shall be tailored to be gender specific to the primary facility of each Staff member. All employees shall receive training annually in the following;</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i. The DOC’s zero-tolerance policy for Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment.</i></li> <li><i>ii. Their responsibilities of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures.</i></li> <li><i>iii. Offenders’ right to be free from Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment.</i></li> <li><i>iv. The right of Offenders and Staff to be free from Retaliation for reporting Sexual</i></li> </ul>

*Abuse and Sexual Harassment.*

*v. The dynamics of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment in confinement.*

*vi. The common reactions of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment victims.*

*vii. How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual Sexual Abuse.*

*viii. How to avoid inappropriate relationships with Offenders.*

*ix. How to communicate effectively and professionally with an Offender, including LGBTI or GNC Offenders.*

*x. How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of Sexual Abuse to outside authorities.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.231.

While onsite, this PREA auditor interviewed the TRCC’s Deputy Director/PREA Compliance Manager who shared that new hire security staff go through two weeks of an “On the Job Training” course at the facility as well as four weeks in the Residential Service Basic Training Academy where both areas train on PREA. Non-Security receive web-based PREA training through **RELIAS** online training. Moreover, this auditor interviewed 16 randomly selected direct supervision staff, specialized, support, volunteer, and contractors. Each acknowledged receives PREA New Hire and/or PREA refresher web-based training through **RELIAS**. This auditor also requested, received, and viewed the training files of the 16 randomly selected staff interviewed to verify up-to-date annual PREA training. The training information showed each staff member’s training verification of attendance. This auditor also reviewed the classroom in-person Power Point employee training curriculum, the Power-Point volunteer/contractor training curriculum and the **RELIAS** web-based annual refresher training curriculum. The employee and volunteer Power Point content as well as the web-based training covered the necessary components identified in PREA Standard 115.231 specifically discussing zero tolerance, first responder duties, resident rights, how to detect and respond as well as effectively communicating any incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.231.

115.232	Volunteer and contractor training
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit

evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.232. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.232. Excerpts states, *“All Volunteers and Contractors who have contact with Offenders shall be trained annually on the following:*

*i. The DOC’s policy of zero-tolerance regarding Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and how to report such incidents.*

*ii. Their responsibilities under the DOC’s Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment policies and procedures.*

*b. Training shall be based on the level and amount of contact the Volunteer or Contractor has with Offenders”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.232.

While onsite, this PREA auditor interviewed 5 randomly selected contractors and volunteers. They acknowledged receiving PREA training and refresher training. All interviewed were able to thoroughly share their responsibilities if informed, observe, or gain knowledge of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This auditor also interviewed the ARDOC’s Chaplin, who also provides/organizes volunteer religious services to Southwest Arkansas Community Corrections Center’s and Texarkana Regional Community Corrections’ residents. He shared that he conducts volunteer/contractor training and utilizes about two hours for the training. He also shared providing time for questions towards the end of the presentation. This auditor also requested, received, and viewed the training files of ten randomly selected volunteers. All reviewed files had their PREA training verification as evidence of compliance.

Additionally, TRCC’s Chaplin submitted the “PREA Contractor/Volunteer Training” power point and “Contractor/Volunteer Acknowledgement Form.” The Power Point training had 36 slides that discussed the origins of PREA, protection from retaliation for reporting, the dynamics of sexual abuse in carceral settings, the responsibilities to prevent, detect, report and respond as volunteers/contractors and how to maintain professional communication with inmates. The power point training and acknowledgement form covered the components identified in PREA Standard 115.231 and 115.232.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.232.

**Auditor Overall Determination:** Meets Standard

**Auditor Discussion**

This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.233. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with 115.233. An excerpts states, "1. *During the intake process and at each facility, each Offender shall receive oral and written information about the DOC's zero-tolerance policy regarding Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment and how to report incidents or suspicions of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment.*

*2. Each facility shall provide Offender education in formats accessible to all Offenders, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, and for Offenders who have limited reading skills. Use of Offender interpreters for assistance in Offender education on aspects of the DOC's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment shall be prohibited except in circumstances where extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the Offender's safety.*

*3. Each facility shall maintain documentation of participation in Offender education.*

*4. Each facility shall ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to Offenders, such as posters and Offender handbook materials that explain the zero-tolerance policy and different ways to report."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.233.

While onsite, this auditor observed that PREA posted reporting signage were in English and Spanish. Also, TRCC's PREA Education videos were provided in English, Spanish, American Sign Language and Closed Caption for the hearing impaired. Finally, ARDOC's PREA Coordinator shared that posters were at a 5th grade reading level and placed at a height where those physically impaired can view. This auditor verified this.

Additionally, while onsite this auditor interviewed TRCC's Advisor who has been appointed to provide resident PREA Education and PREA Risk Screenings. She shared that the intake process that occurs 24 hours after entry into the facility. PREA Education entail residents viewing the most recent PRC disseminated PREA Education video and reviewing and initialing ARDOC's "PREA Offender Education" checklist form after reviewing the content She shared that she was assigned to the role of providing resident PREA Education in July 2024, however, has not received formal training to do the job effectively. TRCC's Advisor further shared that residents have signed off on receiving PREA Education however they weren't properly

educated on PREA.

This auditor also interviewed 22 randomly selected TRCC residents. This auditor asked each if they received PREA Education at their intake. There were only 9 out of 22 who shared that they did receive PREA Education at intake and could share details of their PREA education (video or checklist sign-off). There were 14 out of 22 who shared that they did not recall receiving PREA Education at their intake. Furthermore, this auditor requested to see the completed/signed "PREA Offender Education" of the randomly selection of 22 TRCC residents interviewed. TRCC was unable to provide this auditor with requested documents.

During this onsite audit's debriefing, this auditor recommended that TRCC provide a refresher PREA Education to all TRCC residents which entails: viewing the PREA Video, as well as reviewing the "PREA Offender Education" checklist with staff. The "PREA Offender Education" checklist entails TRCC's zero tolerance for sexual abuse/sexual harassment, resident's rights, ways to report at TRCC, and access to victim advocacy and emotional support. Finally, the "PREA Offender Education" checklist should be signed off by staff providing the PREA education and the resident acknowledging their receipt and understanding the information.

After the conclusion of TRCC's PREA Facility Onsite Audit, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted completed "PREA Offender Education" checklist of 137 residents (which entailed PREA video viewing). TRCC's refresher PREA Education was conducted during the timeframe of 11/11/24 through 11/22/24. All 137 forms were dated, had resident initials next to each discussion point, the staff signature who provided the PREA education, and the resident's signature sign-off/acknowledgement as evidence of compliance.

This auditor recommended that TRCC establish a consistency in practice of providing PREA Education for residents at intake, before a determination of compliance can be concluded. PREA Education should entail the following actions:

1. *Providing the current "PREA Video" for residents to view, followed by*
2. *Reviewing the "PREA Offender Education" checklist with residents, and*
3. *Giving each resident the "End the Silence" brochure.*

This also recommended the TRCC's non-security staff receive "Comprehensive PREA Staff Training" ("in-person" or via "live zoom") to ensure they understand PREA and have an opportunity to ask questions/dialogue with a facilitator. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.233. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, this auditor selected a random selection of 25 current residents' names, who received PREA education from 3/1/25

	<p>through 8/1/25. ARDOC's PC submitted the 25 "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklists, as evidence of compliance. TRCC's "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist is read and reviewed with residents after viewing the PRC-Approved "PREA Comprehensive Education" video. Residents acknowledge then initial that they viewed the PREA video on the "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist. Finally, ARDOC's PC also submitted calendar evidence and training transcripts showing that ARDOC's Central Office conducted PREA virtual/in-person training PREA Comprehensive Education to TRCC civilian/non-security staff (dated 1/14/2025).</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.233.</p>
--	---

115.234	Specialized training: Investigations
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.234. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.234. An excerpt states, <i>"All employees who conduct Sexual Abuse investigations shall receive specialized training in conducting such an investigation in a confinement setting. The training shall include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a. Interviewing techniques for Sexual Abuse Victims.</i></li> <li><i>b. Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings.</i></li> <li><i>c. Sexual Abuse evidence collection in confinement settings.</i></li> <li><i>d. Criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral."</i></li> </ul> <p>This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.234.</p> <p>While onsite, this auditor also interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM, who was the sole administrative PREA Investigator at TRCC. She knew her responsibilities in, evidence collection, Miranda/Garrity rights, interviewing procedures, understanding victim trauma, and investigation report-writing protocols. This auditor reviewed</p>

“ARDOC’s Coordinated Response Plan,” which aligned with ARDOC’s PREA Investigator’s interview responses. Furthermore, she identified the specialized training she received regarding investigating sexual abuse in confinement facilities. This auditor verified the specialized training TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM received training through ARDOC’s 3-day “*Sexual Assault Investigation’s Training*” (11/8/2023). This PREA auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s 6 module “*Sexual Abuse Investigator’s Training (SAIT)*” in OAS, as evidence of compliance. The modules noted were as follows:

1. *Legal Issues and Liability*
2. *PREA Standards for Investigations*
3. *1st Responder Duties*
4. *Medical & Mental Health Care*
5. *Evidence Collection and*
6. *PREA Investigations: “Adult Interviewing and Report Writing.”*

All modules covered the necessary topics related to PREA’s investigation standards. Finally, this auditor recommended that TRCC identify 2 additional TRCC supervisory staff to receive specialized training to conduct administrative PREA investigations. TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM identified TRCC’s Captain and Lieutenant. This auditor verified that both received specialized SAIT training.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.234.

115.235	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.235. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” #1D-03 as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.235. An excerpt states, “<i>All full and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in the facility shall receive specialized training on the following:</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <i>How to detect and assess signs of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment.</i></li> <li>b. <i>How to preserve physical evidence of Sexual Abuse.</i></li> </ol>

*c. How to respond effectively and professionally to Victims of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment.*

*d. How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.235.

While on site, this auditor conducted an exhaustive site review/tour of TRCC and observed the medical triage area. This auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator and WellPath’s Health Services Administrator who shared that all medical and mental health professionals within the facility complete PREA training annually through Well Path Academy as well as **RELIAS** web-based PREA training. Additionally, TRCC submitted the specialized training that all medical and mental health staff take with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator as evidence of compliance. This auditor reviewed the specialized training entitled, “Medical, Mental Health, & PREA” which TRCC submitted as evidence of specialized training for this standard. This training contained 54 slides that provided comprehensive education on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and harassment, how to preserve physical evidence, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims and how and whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and harassment.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.235.

115.241	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.241. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.241. An excerpt states, <i>“Each Offender shall be assessed during the intake screening within seventy-two (72) hours of arrival and upon each transfer to another facility. Each objective risk screening shall be face to face and include a review of any history of Sexual Abuse-Victimization or sexually predatory behavior. Only Staff who have completed PREA screening training may administer the assessment.</i></p> <p><i>The assessment report shall be completed in the Electronic Offender Management</i></p>

*Information System (eOmis). The PREA screening shall consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess an Offender for risk of sexual victimization:*

- a. Whether the Offender has a mental, physical, or developmental disability;*
- b. The age of the Offender;*
- c. The physical build of the Offender;*
- d. Whether the Offender has previously been incarcerated;*
- e. Whether the Offender's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent;*
- f. Whether the Offender has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;*
- g. Whether the Offender is or is perceived to be LGBTI or GNC;*
- h. Whether the Offender has previously experienced sexual Victimization; and*
  - i. The Offender's own perception of vulnerability.."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.241.

While on site, this auditor reviewed the PREA Risk Screening tool in ARDOC's "eOmis" system (electronic offender management system) with TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. Currently, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM and Captain/Chief of Security primarily conducts PREA Risk Screenings in "eOmis" due to "eOmis" requiring special permissions/access. After combing through the risk assessment tool, this auditor observed that any resident screened having history of sexual victimization are automatically identified as "high risk of victimization" by the system. However, when any resident screened having history of sexual abusiveness/perpetration, they are not automatically identified as "high risk of abusiveness/perpetration" by the system. The system requires two additional categorical question boxes to be checked before the screened resident would be identified as "high risk of abusiveness/perpetration."

Additionally, when this auditor interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM, she shared that she recently assumed her role at TRCC. She shared that when she arrived, there were 0 residents who received "30-Day PREA Risk Reassessments." When she noticed that "30-day PREA Risk Reassessments" were not occurring, she stated that she immediately started conducting individual "30-PREA Risk Reassessments" on all the TRCC inmates who were at the facility at least 30-days.

Additionally, while onsite, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected TRCC residents. This auditor asked the residents if they recalled being asked specific questions when they arrived (this auditor detailed the specific screening questions that were asked). There were 21 out of the 22 interviewed residents who shared that they did recall being asked those specific screening questions. This auditor asked each interviewed resident if they were asked those specific/similar screening

questions again at any time? There were 0 out of the 22 interviewed who shared that they did not recall being asked those questions or related questions since their intake.

Furthermore, this auditor requested to see the *“PREA Risk Screenings”* and *“30-Day PREA Reassessments”* of the 22 randomly selected interviewed TRCC residents (in *“eOmis”*). Upon review, this auditor observed that 22 out of 22 *“Initial PREA Risk Screenings”* were completed, (identified in the *“arrival date”* section in *“eOmis”*). However, 17 of the 22 TRCC resident’s *“30-Day PREA Risk Reassessments”* were completed while this auditor was onsite conducting this PREA Audit (11/8/24) (identified in the *“last screening date”* section in *“eOmis”*). This auditor then reviewed the entire roster of TRCC residents’ screenings and found that many more residents received their *“30-Day PREA Risk Reassessments”* on 11/8/24, while this auditor was onsite. Several of these TRCC residents had been at TRCC for months prior to 11/8/24 and should have been reassessed within 30 days of their intake. This verified the inconsistency in TRCC conducting *“30-Day PREA Reassessment Screenings.”*

This auditor recommended ARDOC calibrate their *“PREA Risk Screening”* tool in *“eOmis”* to have the threshold which identifies *“risk of abusiveness/perpetration”* align with the threshold which identifies *“risk of victimization.”* Additionally, this auditor recommended that TRCC conduct a *“PREA Risk Re-Screening”* on all TRCC inmates. Each screening should be conducted in-person where the resident can respond to the questions, individually, and in a setting away from other residents. Finally, this auditor recommended TRCC establish a consistency in practice of conducting *“30-day PREA Risk Reassessments”* within 30-days of resident’s intake before compliance can be concluded. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.241. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC), with the goal of discussing the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, on 5/1/25, ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator sent this auditor video evidence showing that ARDOC’s contractor who manages their *“eOmis”* system made the calibration corrections to their PREA Risk Screening Tool to have the threshold which identifies *“risk of abusiveness”* align with the threshold which identifies *“risk of victimization.”* Additionally, while this auditor was onsite conducting a PREA facility onsite at another ARDOC facility, this auditor tested ARDOC’s PREA Risk Screening Tool in their agency-wide *“eOmis”* system. This auditor was able to verify and confirm that the calibration corrections were made.

Furthermore, during TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, this auditor requested to

	<p>see TRCC’s list of residents who have been at TRCC from 3/1/25 through 8/1/25, to make a random selection of 25 residents to verify that they received “<i>PREA Transfer In/Intake Screenings</i>” and received “<i>30-Day PREA Reassessments</i>” within the required 30-day threshold. ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) submitted the requested 25 residents “<i>PREA Transfer In/Intake Screenings</i>” and “<i>30-Day PREA Reassessments.</i>” This auditor verified that 25 out of 25 randomly selected residents received “<i>PREA Transfer In/Intake Screenings</i>” and the 21 out of 25 residents received “<i>30-Day PREA Reassessments.</i>”</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.241.</p>
--	--

<b>115.242</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.242. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.242. An excerpt states, “<i>If an Offender scores “at risk” for victimization, the designated Staff shall label them as Victim Prone in eOmis.</i></p> <p><i>If an Offender scores “at risk” for abusiveness, the designated staff shall label them as a Potential Sexual Predator in eOmis.</i></p> <p><i>The information from the PREA screening shall be used to make housing, bed, program, and work assignment decisions with the goal of keeping separate those Offenders who are prone to sexual Victimization from those who are prone to sexual aggression. The facility PCM is responsible for ensuring such separation.</i></p> <p><i>Placement decisions regarding Transgender and Intersex Offenders shall be individualized.”</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02),” concluding that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.242.</p> <p>While onsite, this auditor interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM and Captain/Chief of Security. Both shared that residents who are screened to be risk of sexual victimization receive a “Victim Prone” designation in ARDOC’s “eOmis” (electronic offender management information system). Residents who are screened to be risk</p>

of sexual abusiveness receive a "Potential Sexual Predator" designation in ARDOC's "eOmis." This designation follows a resident in "eOmis." This designation also notifies room and bed assigning Officers that they are placing residents who are "Victim Prone" in the same housing location as residents who's "Potential Sexual Predators." TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM and Captain/Chief of Security, also shared that TRCC's program does not retain residents who receives a "Potential Sexual Predator" status through their "PREA Risk Screening." They further shared that if a resident is screened and receives a "Potential Sexual Predator" status, the resident is housed in the single-occupancy isolation room until they are transferred to a more suitable placement (or parent unit). This auditor reviewed TRCC entire resident roster's "PREA Risk Screening" results and did not observe any resident present at TRCC with a "Potential Sexual Predator" risk status.

Additionally, while onsite, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected TRCC residents. This auditor asked the residents if they recalled being asked specific questions when they arrived (this auditor detailed the specific screening questions that were asked). There were 21 out of the 22 interviewed residents who shared that they did recall being asked those specific screening questions. This auditor also asked each of the 22 residents interviewed, *"Have you reported being sexually abused or sexually harassed by staff or another resident here at TRCC?"* There were 0 out of 22 residents who responded that they reported being sexually abused or sexually harassed by staff or other residents at TRCC.

Moreover, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM and Captain/Chief of Security shared that there is no special designated housing for LGBTI residents at TRCC, and if there was a need to house transgender or intersex residents the PREA Committee would further review placement options. This auditor also asked TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM and Captain/Chief of Security if transgender resident's own perception of their safety taken into serious consideration. Both stated that safety is a priority at TRCC and any mistreatment by other residents and/or staff can result in disciplinary action. During the site review/tour, this auditor observed the bathrooms utilized for all residents. When asked about the formal process regarding showers, toileting and hand washing, staff shared that showers are on schedule. However, residents who work in the CWC program are allowed to shower earlier in the day. There was no formalized process. Finally, both shared that there are accommodations for a transgender or intersex resident to shower opposite times/location from the other residents.

This auditor recommended TRCC provide *"Refresher Training"* to all TRCC staff on professional conduct with transgender/intersex residents. This recommended training content should entail the following topics: defining LGBTI, professional interactions with transgender, pat/strip search procedures of transgender/intersex residents, serious considerations of transgender/intersex resident own perception of safety, allowing transgender/intersex residents to shower opposite of the other TRCC residents. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.242. Corrective Action was required.

	<p>During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager submitted staff signed/dated “PREA Training Acknowledgement” sheet, as evidence of TRCC staff completing “Professionalism with LGBTI Offenders” refresher training (dated 8/4/25 through 8/7/25). This auditor also received and reviewed the “Professionalism with LGBTI Offenders” training’s PowerPoint curriculum, which has the content that aligns with this standard.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.242.</p>
--	--

115.251	Resident reporting
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.251. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.251. An excerpt states, <i>“Offenders may report Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment, Retaliation by other Offenders or Staff, and Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents through multiple avenues:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a. Calling the DOC PREA Hotline free of charge using the Offender telephone system;</i></li> <li><i>b. Telling any Staff member;</i></li> <li><i>c. Writing a note or request to any Staff member;</i></li> <li><i>d. Sending correspondence to the PREA Coordinator; SD 2024-02 Page 8 of 15</i></li> <li><i>e. Sending correspondence to the designated external agency;</i></li> <li><i>f. Sending confidential correspondence to a designated community-based victim advocacy group. Such correspondence will be treated as legal mail;</i></li> <li><i>g. Utilizing the Offender Grievance Procedure; or</i></li> </ul>

*h. Having a family member or friend make a report to the Warden or DOC PREA Coordinator.*

*An Offender may report a sexual offense to any Staff member and may also report using any of the listed multiple internal and external reporting methods, whether verbally, in writing, anonymously, or via a third party."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)," concluding that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.251.

While onsite, this PREA auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 TRCC residents asking, "Please share with me at least four different ways a resident can report an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment here at TRCC?" There were 8 of 22 who shared 4 various ways and 8 out of 22 could share 3 ways to report. There were 6 out of 22 who only could share 2 various ways to report a PREA incident. More than 73% of the 22 total interviewed residents stated different staff names, hotline avenues, grievance and 3rd party ways to report. When this auditor conducted an exhaustive site review/site review/tour, this auditor observed that the PREA reporting signage throughout the facility was in English and Spanish.

This auditor also reviewed ARDOC's Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with "Arkansas Commission on Law Enforcement Standards and Training" (CLEST). CLEST is ARDOC's external reporting entity, who receives resident and inmate reports of sexual abuse. "CLEST" receives mail-in reports then immediately forwards all reports to ARDOC's PREA Coordinator. This auditor reached out to "CLEST" and spoke to a representative, who verified the MOU, as well as "CLEST's" responsibilities to receive and immediately forward reports of sexual abuse by an ARDOC inmate of resident. This auditor did observe TRCC's "CLEST" reporting signage on the walls near the residents' telephones during the site review/tour.

During this onsite audit's debriefing, this auditor recommended that TRCC provide a refresher PREA Education to all TRCC residents which entails: viewing the PREA Video, as well as reviewing the "PREA Offender Education" checklist with staff. The "PREA Offender Education" checklist entails TRCC's zero tolerance for sexual abuse/sexual harassment, resident's rights, various ways to report at TRCC (hotline, written grievances, staff, CLEST, family/friends, medical/mental health, etc.), and access to victim advocacy and emotional support. Finally, the "PREA Offender Education" checklist should be signed off by staff providing the PREA education and the resident acknowledging their receipt and understanding the information

After the conclusion of TRCC's PREA Facility Onsite Audit, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted completed "PREA Offender Education" checklist of 137 residents (which entailed PREA video viewing). TRCC's refresher PREA Education was conducted during the timeframe of 11/11/24 through 11/22/24. All 137 forms were dated, had resident initials next to each discussion point, the staff signature who provided the PREA education, and the resident's signature sign-off/acknowledgement as evidence of compliance.

This auditor recommended that TRCC establish a consistency in practice of

providing PREA Education for residents at intake, before a determination of compliance can be concluded. PREA Education should entail the following actions:

1. *Providing the current “PREA Video” for residents to view, followed by*
2. *Reviewing the “PREA Offender Education” checklist with residents, and*
3. *Giving each resident the “End the Silence” brochure.*

This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.251. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, this auditor selected a random selection of 25 current residents’ names, who received PREA education from 3/1/25 through 8/1/25. ARDOC’s PC submitted the 25 “PREA Offender Education” acknowledgement checklists, as evidence of compliance. TRCC’s “PREA Offender Education” acknowledgement checklist is read and reviewed with residents after viewing the PRC-Approved “PREA Comprehensive Education.” Residents then initial that they viewed the PREA video and was explained the reporting avenues at TRCC, on the “PREA Offender Education” acknowledgement checklist.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.251.

115.252	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.252. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.252. An excerpt states, “Offenders may report Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment, Retaliation by other Offenders or Staff, and Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents through multiple avenues:</p> <p><i>a. Calling the DOC PREA Hotline free of charge using the Offender telephone system;</i></p>

*b. Telling any Staff member;*

*c. Writing a note or request to any Staff member;*

*d. Sending correspondence to the PREA Coordinator;*

*e. Sending correspondence to the designated external agency;*

*f. Sending confidential correspondence to a designated community-based victim advocacy group. Such correspondence will be treated as legal mail;*

*g. Utilizing the Offender Grievance Procedure; or*

*h. Having a family member or friend make a report to the Warden or DOC PREA Coordinator.*

*An Offender may report a sexual offense to any Staff member and may also report using any of the listed multiple internal and external reporting methods, whether verbally, in writing, anonymously, or via a third party."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.252.

While onsite, this auditor interviewed TRCC's Grievance Officer, who shared that she checks grievance daily. She further shared that she and the PCM are the only TRCC personnel who have access to the "Grievance Box," and if a PREA related grievance is received, she responds immediately. TRCC's Grievance Officer further shared that PREA Grievances are considered emergency grievances. When a PREA grievance is received, she immediately reports it to the highest-ranking officer on duty and documents the grievance in ARDOC's "eOmis" (Electronic Offender Management Information System). Furthermore, this auditor asked about how residents in the Special Management Cells can file a grievance? She shared that residents give grievance forms directly to her when she makes her rounds to collect grievances. At the time of this onsite audit, there were 0 residents housed in TRCC's 2 Special Management cells.

Additionally, while onsite, this auditor observed 2 "Grievance Boxes" near the resident housing hallways. This auditor informally asked a random selection of 7 TRCC residents of the purpose of the "Grievance Box," each resident similarly shared that the "Grievance Box" is for residents to share unfair treatment. This was confirmed during this auditor's randomly selected interviews with 10 TRCC direct supervision staff and 8 specialized, who shared that the "Grievance Box" is meant for confidential correspondence with TRCC's Grievance Officer.

While onsite, this PREA auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 TRCC residents asking, "Please share with me at least four different ways a resident can report an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment here at TRCC?" There were only 7 out of the 22 who shared that submitting a grievance as an avenue to report a PREA allegation. When this auditor conducted an exhaustive site review/site review/tour, this auditor observed that the PREA reporting signage throughout the facility was in

English and Spanish.

During this onsite audit's debriefing, this auditor recommended that TRCC provide a refresher Comprehensive PREA Education to all TRCC residents which entails: viewing the PREA Video, as well as reviewing the "*PREA Offender Education*" checklist with staff. The "*PREA Offender Education*" checklist entails TRCC's zero tolerance for sexual abuse/sexual harassment, resident's rights, various ways to report at TRCC (hotline, written grievances, staff, CLEST, 3rd Party-family/friends, kiosk-medical/mental health requests, etc.), and access to victim advocacy and emotional support. Finally, the "*PREA Offender Education*" checklist should be signed off by staff providing the PREA education and the resident acknowledging their receipt and understanding the information.

After the conclusion of TRCC's PREA Facility Onsite Audit, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted completed "*PREA Offender Education*" checklist of 137 residents (which entailed PREA video viewing). TRCC's refresher PREA Education was conducted during the timeframe of 11/11/24 through 11/22/24. All 137 forms were dated, had resident initials next to each discussion point, the staff signature who provided the PREA education, and the resident's signature sign-off/acknowledgement as evidence of compliance.

This auditor recommended that TRCC establish a consistency in practice of providing PREA Education for residents at intake, before a determination of compliance can be concluded. PREA Education should entail the following actions:

1. Providing the current "**PREA Video**" for residents to view, followed by
2. Reviewing the "**PREA Offender Education**" checklist with residents, and
3. Giving each resident the "**End the Silence**" brochure.

This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.252. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, this auditor selected a random selection of 25 current residents' names, who received PREA education from 3/1/25 through 8/1/25. ARDOC's PC submitted the 25 "*PREA Offender Education*" acknowledgement checklists, as evidence of compliance. TRCC's "*PREA Offender Education*" acknowledgement checklist is read and reviewed with residents after viewing the PRC-Approved "*PREA Comprehensive Education*" video. Residents then initialed that they viewed the PREA video and was explained the PREA emergency grievance procedures, on the "*PREA Offender Education*" acknowledgement checklist.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.252.

<b>115.253</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services</b>
	<p data-bbox="280 188 983 224"><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="280 264 564 300"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 340 1484 792">This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.253. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.253. An excerpt states, <i>“Victim Advocacy Training - Employees designated to provide victim advocacy for Offenders when a community-based organization is not available must receive approved training as indicated for Victim advocates.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 833 1484 1115">This auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s Coordinated Response Plan which states, <i>“If requested by the victim, a victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization, a DOC staff member will accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews. Their role is to provide emotional support, crisis intervention services, information, and referrals. Please contact your facility PCM for a list of qualified agency staff members.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1155 1484 1272">This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and “Coordinated Response Plan,” concluding that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.253.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1312 1484 1886">While onsite, this auditor interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) and ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC). Both shared that the area where TRCC is located does not have any community-based rape crisis center or victim advocacy centers. This auditor recommended that a staff person be identified as a victim advocate if no community-based victim advocacy could be available. Both further shared that TRCC would be sending TRCC’s Captain/Chief of Security and TRCC’s Sergeant to receive victim advocacy specialized training on 11/13/24. On 11/13/24, ARDOC’s uploaded verification that TRCC’s Captain and Sergeant received specialized training through ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator to be staff victim advocates. ARDOC’s PC uploaded <i>“Sexual Assault Victim Advocacy Training Acknowledge Forms”</i> in OAS supplemental files, as evidence of compliance with this PREA Standard. This auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s victim’s advocate training PPT curriculum (50 slides), as well as accompanying supplemental individual/groups exercises and scenario exercises.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1926 1484 2083">Additionally, while on site, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 TRCC residents. When this auditor asked about their knowledge of victim advocacy services provided for sexual abuse victims at TRCC, 0 out of 22 residents knew that TRCC’s Captain/Chief of Security and Sergeant were specialized trained staff victim</p>

advocates.

This auditor recommended that all TRCC residents receive "*Refresher Education*" on who the TRCC victim advocates are in the facility, their role and purpose, and how to access them if needed. Inmates should be aware that TRCC's specialized trained Victim Advocates provide victim advocacy and emotional support to all TRCC residents. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.253. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted a "*Memo*" from TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM sharing that TRCC staff has conducting "*Refresher Staff Training.*" The "*Memo*" stated,

*"On November 29, 2024, through December 6, 2024, TRCC conducted PREA training for Security Staff and Administration Staff. The PREA policy SD 2025-01 and the PREA video were reviewed with staff.*

*Information listed below:*

- *The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy*
- *Definitions*
- *Training*
  - \* *First Responders Responsibilities*
  - \* *Initial Reporting*
  - \* *Preserving the crime scene*
  - \* *Investigations*
  - \* *Medical Notification*
  - \* *PREA Notifications*
  - \* *PREA Checklist*
  - \* *Victim Advocacy*
  - \* *PREA Retaliation Assessment*
  - \* *The importance of Confidentiality*
  - \* *Internal Affairs Division (IAD)*
  - \* *Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR)"*

TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted signed/dated "*PREA Education Officer Acknowledgement*" sheets from TRCC's A, B, C, and D shifts as evidence of TRCC staff completing above-mentioned training.

Additionally, TRCC's PCM submitted their "*End the Silence*" PREA Pamphlet, which has TRCC's Specialized Trained Victim Advocate's name for resident to know and contact, if needed. TRCC's PCM also submitted 137 signed "*PREA Offender Education*" acknowledgement checklists and showing the PRC-approved PREA

	<p>Education Video (in English and Spanish), as evidence of providing “<i>PREA Refresher Education</i>” to all TRCC residents (dated 11/11/24 through 11/16/24). This auditor also received the Spanish versions of TRCC’s “<i>PREA Pamphlet</i>” and the “<i>PREA Offender Education</i>” acknowledgement checklist.</p> <p>Finally, this auditor selected a random selection of 25 current residents’ names, who received PREA education from 3/1/25 through 8/1/25. ARDOC’s PC submitted the 25 “<i>PREA Offender Education</i>” acknowledgement checklists, as evidence of compliance. TRCC’s “<i>PREA Offender Education</i>” acknowledgement checklist is read and reviewed with residents after viewing the PRC-Approved “<i>PREA Comprehensive Education.</i>” Residents then initialed that they viewed the PREA video and was informed of TRCC staff victim advocates and their role, on the “<i>PREA Offender Education</i>” acknowledgement checklist.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.253.</p>
--	---

<b>115.254</b>	<b>Third party reporting</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.254. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.254. An excerpt states, “<i>Offenders may report Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment, Retaliation by other Offenders or Staff, and Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents through multiple avenues:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>a. Calling the DOC PREA Hotline free of charge using the Offender telephone system;</i></li> <li><i>b. Telling any Staff member;</i></li> <li><i>c. Writing a note or request to any Staff member;</i></li> <li><i>d. Sending correspondence to the PREA Coordinator; SD 2024-02 Page 8 of 15</i></li> <li><i>e. Sending correspondence to the designated external agency;</i></li> <li><i>f. Sending confidential correspondence to a designated community-based victim advocacy group. Such correspondence will be treated as legal mail;</i></li> </ul>

*g. Utilizing the Offender Grievance Procedure; or*

*h. Having a family member or friend make a report to the Warden or DOC PREA Coordinator.*

*An Offender may report a sexual offense to any Staff member and may also report using any of the listed multiple internal and external reporting methods, whether verbally, in writing, anonymously, or via a third party."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.254.

This auditor also reviewed the third-party reporting option for ARDOC facilities through the ARDOC's website (Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) - Arkansas Department of Corrections) which states, "If you wish to report an alleged incident of sexual assault, sexual abuse, sexual misconduct or sexual harassment on behalf of an offender you may:

*Report directly to the AR DOC facility where the offender is housed:*

*To find contact information for all AR DOC facilities, click the button below.*

*AR DOC Facilities*

*Report by mail:*

*DOC Headquarters Attn: PREA Coordinator  
1302 Pike Ave., Suite C  
North Little Rock, AR 72114*

*Report by phone:*

*(501) XXX-XXXX*

*Fill out the form below:*

*PREA Reporting Form..."*

This auditor submitted a "test third-party report" on ARDOC's website and the ARDOC's PREA Coordinator promptly reached out and responded to the submitted report. This auditor also reviewed TRCC's "End the Silence" pamphlet and "PREA Offender Education" checklist, which provides information on ways to report sexual abuse/harassment through a third-party (legal, family, friend, trusting inmate).

However, while on site, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 residents, asking, "Please share with me at least 4 ways a resident can report sexual abuse or sexual harassment incidents here at TRCC?" There were only 9 out of 22 residents who responded that they could report through a 3rd Party (family, friends, another resident, legal, etc.). The remaining 13 residents required prompting before mentioning a 3rd party as a reporting option.

During this onsite audit's debriefing, this auditor recommended that TRCC provide a refresher Comprehensive PREA Education to all TRCC residents which entails: viewing the PREA Video, as well as reviewing the "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist with staff. The "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist entails TRCC's zero tolerance for sexual abuse/sexual harassment, resident's rights, various ways to report at TRCC (hotline, written grievances, staff, CLEST, 3rd Party-family/friends, kiosk-medical/mental health requests, etc.), and access to victim advocacy and emotional support. Finally, the "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist should be signed off by staff providing the PREA education and the resident acknowledging their receipt and understanding the information.

After the conclusion of TRCC's PREA Facility Onsite Audit, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted completed "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist of 137 residents (which entailed PREA video viewing). TRCC's refresher PREA Education was conducted during the timeframe of 11/11/24 through 11/22/24. All 137 forms were dated, had resident initials next to each discussion point, the staff signature who provided the PREA education, and the resident's signature sign-off/acknowledgement as evidence of compliance.

This auditor recommended that TRCC establish a consistency in practice of providing PREA Education for residents at intake, before a determination of compliance can be concluded. PREA Education should entail the following actions:

1. *Providing the current "PREA Video" for residents to view, followed by*
2. *Reviewing the "PREA Offender Education" checklist with residents, and*
3. *Giving each resident the "End the Silence" brochure.*

This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.254. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, this auditor selected a random selection of 25 current residents' names, who received PREA education from 3/1/25 through 8/1/25. ARDOC's PC submitted the 25 "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklists, as evidence of compliance. TRCC's "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist is read and reviewed with residents after viewing the PRC-Approved "PREA Comprehensive Education" video. Residents acknowledged then initialed that they viewed the PREA video, was explained 3rd Party Reporting, how 3rd parties can report on behalf of a TRCC resident, on the "PREA Offender Education" acknowledgement checklist.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.254.

<b>115.261</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.261. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their Arkansas Department of Correction’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.261. An excerpt states, <i>“Staff members shall immediately report all knowledge, suspicions, or information of an incident of a sexual offense within DOC or any other correctional facility. They shall also report any Retaliation against someone who has reported such an incident and any knowledge of Staff who neglect to report the above incidents or who, through neglect of duty or violation of responsibilities, may have contributed to an incident occurring. Staff can privately report Offender Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment directly to the warden or deputy warden of the facility, or by contacting the PREA Hotline.”</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.261.</p> <p>While onsite, this auditor also interviewed 16 randomly selected TRCC specialized staff, direct supervision staff, volunteers, and contractors. Each knew their coordinated responsibilities if informed, suspects, receive information, or become aware of sexual abuse at TRCC. Finally, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected residents. Each interviewed resident shared that staff immediately respond to reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Finally, this auditor reviewed TRCC’s <i>“End the Silence”</i> pamphlet and <i>“PREA Offender Education”</i> checklist, which provides residents information on ways to report sexual abuse/harassment through informing staff, third-party (legal, family member, friend), written reporting, and confidential reporting. Finally, this auditor reviewed ARDOC’s “Website” and “Coordinated Response Plan,” which provided information to staff on various ways (anonymous or confidential) to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment if informed, observe, suspect, or reported to by a TRCC resident.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.261.</p>

<b>115.262</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard

	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.262. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.262. An excerpt states, <i>“If at any time it is learned that an Offender is subject to a substantial risk of imminent Sexual Abuse, immediate action shall be taken to protect the Offender.”</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.262.</p> <p>While onsite, this auditor also interviewed 16 randomly selected TRCC specialized staff, direct supervision staff, and contractors, asking the question, <i>“If you learn that a resident may be at imminent risk of sexual abuse, what steps you would take to protect?”</i> There was a consensus (16/16) amongst the interviewed staff that they would immediately attempt to mitigate the risk by informing supervisory staff/ ARDOC’s PREA Compliance Manager, recommending changing dorm, or programming adjustments. Finally, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected residents. Each interviewed resident shared that staff protect vulnerable residents and they immediately respond to any reports of resident risk of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.262.</p>
--	--

<b>115.263</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.263. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.263. An excerpt states, <i>“Within seventy-two (72) hours of receiving an allegation that an Offender was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the Warden shall notify the head of the facility where</i></p>

	<p><i>the incident occurred.</i></p> <p><i>The notification shall be documented. All allegations received from other facilities shall be investigated in accordance with the PREA Standards. The incident report and investigation shall be completed by the facility where the incident occurred.”</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.263.</p> <p>While on site, this auditor interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM and asked if TRCC received any reports from residents within the last 1-3 years. She shared that TRCC has not received any report from their residents of sexual abuse from any previous facilities. TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM also shared the procedures regarding their reporting process if a report of sexual abuse came from a resident regarding another facility. Furthermore, she shared that TRCC’s specialized trained PREA Investigators will provide support to the previous facility investigators throughout the investigation, if such a report was received.</p> <p>This auditor reminded TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM that if a TRCC resident reports sexual abuse that occurred at a previous facility, TRCC is responsible for providing a written notice to the facility within 72 hours by either himself or the designee.</p> <p>TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM submitted, in OAS as evidence of compliance, a fillable template memo that ARDOC facilities utilizes to “<i>Report to Other Confinement Facilities.</i>” This template memo aligns with PREA Standard 115.263. This memo can be used by TRCC’s Warden and designee to inform other confinement facility heads of sexual abuse incidents which occurred at a previous confinement facility and was reported by a TRCC resident. Additionally, TRCC provided a letter of actual correspondence that occurred at another ARDOC facility on 10/16/2024 of an alleged sexual abuse incident that was reported through grievance by a resident on 10/13/2024, as evidence of ARDOC’s reporting procedure. Finally, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected residents. Each interviewed resident shared they have not reported or have been informed by another resident that they were a victim of unreported sexual abuse at a previous facility.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that the Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.263.</p>
--	---

<b>115.264</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA</p>

Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.264. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.264. An excerpt states, "Upon learning that an Offender was sexually abused, the Staff member shall immediately ensure the safety of the Victim while reporting the information to the shift supervisor. The shift supervisor shall activate the Coordinated Response Plan and ensure the following steps have been taken:

*a. The separation of the Victim and Perpetrator.*

*b. The security and protection of any crime scene to keep potential evidence in place for examination and investigation.*

*i. The only persons permitted to enter a secured crime scene shall be Arkansas State Police, the assigned investigator, or medical Staff as needed.*

*ii. The area shall remain secured as a crime scene until verification of a completed investigation and released by the investigating authority.*

*c. If the abuse occurred within the previous ninety-six (96) hours, request that the Victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, drinking, or eating;*

*d. If the abuse occurred within the previous ninety-six (96) hours, ensure that the Perpetrator does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, drinking, or eating;*

*e. The PREA checklist will be initiated immediately by the First Responder."*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.264.

While on site, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected residents. Each resident shared that they felt comfortable informing staff of any PREA-related incident. Each interviewed resident also shared that staff protect vulnerable residents and they immediately respond to any reports of resident risk of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. This auditor also interviewed a random selection of 8 direct supervision security staff. This auditor shared a scenario with the 8 direct supervision security staff. The scenario described a sexual assault occurring in the shower room area and the alleged victim immediately runs out and reports the assault to the interviewed staff. Each knew their responsibilities to call for assistance and separate the two residents, if they were first to observe an active scene of sexual abuse. However, without this auditor's prompt, 4 of the 8 staff interviewed did not know about crime scene preservation for usable evidence. The interviewees did not share that their duty to block off the scene of the incident,

encourage the alleged victim not to change clothing, brush teeth, or use the toilet, and ensure that the alleged perpetrator does not change their clothing, brush teeth, or use the toilet.

This auditor recommended all TRCC staff receive *"Refresher Training"* on their 1st Responder responsibilities to preserve the potential crime scene when the sexual abuse incident occurs within a time to preserve usable evidence. This training agenda and staff attendance should be documented and submitted as evidence of compliance. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.264. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted a *"Memo"* from TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM sharing that TRCC staff has conducting *"Refresher Staff Training."* The *"Memo"* stated,

*"On November 29, 2024, through December 6, 2024, TRCC conducted PREA training for Security Staff and Administration Staff. The PREA policy SD 2025-01 and the PREA video were reviewed with staff."*

*Information listed below:*

- *The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy*
- *Definitions*
- *Training*
  - \* *First Responders Responsibilities*
  - \* *Initial Reporting*
  - \* *Preserving the crime scene*
  - \* *Investigations*
  - \* *Medical Notification*
  - \* *PREA Notifications*
  - \* *PREA Checklist*
  - \* *Victim Advocacy*
  - \* *PREA Retaliation Assessment*
  - \* *The importance of Confidentiality*
  - \* *Internal Affairs Division (IAD)*
  - \* *Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR)"*

TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager submitted signed/dated *"PREA Education Officer Acknowledgement"* sheets from TRCC's A, B, C, and D shifts as evidence of TRCC staff completing above-mentioned training.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.264.

<b>115.265</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>
	<p data-bbox="280 188 981 224"><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p data-bbox="280 264 564 300"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 340 1484 918">This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.265. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections Coordinated Response Plan (24 pages)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.265. The coordinated response spells out each ARDOC staff, contractor, community hospital and related agency’s roles in responding to ARDOC inmate sexual abuse. An excerpt states, “ <i>Updates to the PREA Coordinated Response Plan must be approved by the DOC PREA Coordinator. Any revisions not approved by the DOC PREA Coordinator will be violating Secretarial Directive 2024-02. For update inquiries, please email DOCPREACOORDINATOR@doc.arkansas.gov</i>”</p> <p data-bbox="280 958 1468 1030">This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.265.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1070 1420 1312">While on site, this auditor also interviewed 8 specialized staff, contractors, and volunteers, as well as 8 direct supervision security staff. This auditor asked each interviewed specialized staff (medical, mental health, facility supervisory, PREA Compliance Manager, Investigator, etc.) their coordinated responsibilities if an inmate is sexually abused while they are on duty (not the 1st Responder). Each member of staff knew their coordinated responsibilities.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1352 1481 1760">Furthermore, this auditor shared a scenario with the 8 direct supervision security staff. The scenario described a sexual assault occurring in the shower room area and the alleged victim immediately runs out and reports the assault to the interviewed staff. Each knew their responsibilities to call for assistance and separate the two residents, if they were first to observe an active scene of sexual abuse. However, without this auditor’s prompt, 4 of the 8 staff interviewed did not know about crime scene preservation for usable evidence. The interviewees did not share that their duty to block off the scene of the incident, encourage the alleged victim not to change clothing, brush teeth, or use the toilet, and ensure that the alleged perpetrator does not change their clothing, brush teeth, or use the toilet.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1800 1484 2083">This auditor recommended all TRCC staff receive “<i>Refresher Training</i>” on their 1st Responder responsibilities to preserve the potential crime scene when the sexual abuse incident occurs within a time to preserve usable evidence. This training agenda and staff attendance should be documented and submitted as evidence of compliance. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.265. Corrective Action was required.</p>

	<p>During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager submitted a “Memo” from TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM sharing that TRCC staff has conducting “Refresher Staff Training.” The “Memo” stated,</p> <p><i>“On November 29, 2024, through December 6, 2024, TRCC conducted PREA training for Security Staff and Administration Staff. The PREA policy SD 2025-01 and the PREA video were reviewed with staff.</i></p> <p><i>Information listed below:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Policy</i></li> <li>• <i>Definitions</i></li> <li>• <i>Training</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* <i>First Responders Responsibilities</i></li> <li>* <i>Initial Reporting</i></li> <li>* <i>Preserving the crime scene</i></li> <li>* <i>Investigations</i></li> <li>* <i>Medical Notification</i></li> <li>* <i>PREA Notifications</i></li> <li>* <i>PREA Checklist</i></li> <li>* <i>Victim Advocacy</i></li> <li>* <i>PREA Retaliation Assessment</i></li> <li>* <i>The importance of Confidentiality</i></li> <li>* <i>Internal Affairs Division (IAD)</i></li> <li>* <i>Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR)"</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager submitted signed/dated “PREA Education Officer Acknowledgement” sheets from TRCC’s A, B, C, and D shifts as evidence of TRCC staff completing above-mentioned training.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.265.</p>
--	---

<b>115.266</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System</p>

	<p>(OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.266.</p> <p>This PREA Auditor did not receive any pre-audit documents by Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC), to be reviewed to determine compliance with Standard 115.266. This PREA Auditor interviewed ARDOC Secretary (Agency Head), ARDOC Chief of Staff (Designee), ARDOC's PREA Coordinator and Deputy Warden/PCM of TRCC. Each individually affirmed that they are not a union and that ARDOC and TRCC have not engaged in collective bargaining on their agency's behalf or renewed any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement. Additionally, during this auditor's interview with 8 randomly selected specialized and 10 direct supervision staff members, they were asked if they were union employees and all employees stated that they were non-union employees.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.266.</p>
--	--

115.267	Agency protection against retaliation
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.267. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.267. An excerpt states, <i>"Retaliation by or against any Staff, Offender, or witness involved in a complaint or report of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment is strictly prohibited. Retaliation, in and of itself, shall be investigated and may constitute grounds for disciplinary action."</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>1. The PCM at each facility shall be responsible for monitoring Retaliation.</i></li> <li><i>2. Monitoring shall occur for at least ninety (90) days following an allegation of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment. Monitoring shall occur beyond ninety (90) days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need. Monitoring shall cease if the investigation determines that the allegation is Unfounded.</i></li> <li><i>3. When monitoring Offenders, periodic status checks shall be conducted by the PCM as needed, but at least once every thirty (30) days. Status checks shall be conducted more often if concerns are expressed by the Offender.</i></li> </ol>

4. *Emotional support services shall be provided as well as appropriate measures taken to protect any individual who expresses a fear of Retaliation.*"

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.267.

While onsite, this auditor also interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. At the time of this PREA facility onsite audit, she was the only staff specialized trained to conduct administrative PREA Investigations. This auditor shared a scenario of a resident being sexually assaulted in the shower, and asked, "What is the PREA Investigator's coordinated responsibilities?" TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM knew her responsibilities of evidence collection, Miranda/Garrity rights, interviewing procedures, understanding victim trauma, and investigation report-writing protocols. This auditor reviewed ARDOC's "Coordinated Response Plan," which aligned with ARDOC's PREA Investigator's interview responses. Finally, this auditor reviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM PREA investigator's training acknowledgement form she submitted. This training acknowledge form verified the specialized training TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM received training through ARDOC's 3-day "Sexual Assault Investigation's Training." This auditor also received and reviewed the 3-day specialized training curriculum, which contained all the components to align with the PREA Standard.

This auditor also interviewed ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC), who shared that each facility's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM) is primarily responsible for completing and documenting initial, 30-, 60-, and 90-day retaliation monitoring in ARDOC's "eOmis" (*electronic offender management information system*). However, PCMs can assign retaliation monitoring to another TRCC site staff. This auditor was able to view and receive a blank "Retaliation Monitoring Form," which consisted of housing and program monitoring, face-to-face status checks (with signature), mental health requests, and disciplinary report reviews by the staff monitor. ARDOC's PC further shared that she sends email reminders regarding Initial, 30, 60, or 90 retaliation monitoring to all PCMs at the commencement of the investigation.

Furthermore, this auditor requested to see a random selection of completed sexual abuse investigations which occurred within the past 12 months. TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted 2 investigations (1 substantiated Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse and 1 unfounded Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse). Only 1 out of the 2 investigations had "Retaliation Monitoring" conducted (unfounded Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse). TRCC's substantiated investigation which was referred to the Internal Affairs Division (IAD), then referred to the *Arkansas State Police* (on 10/30/23), did not have any "Retaliation Monitoring" documentation present.

When this auditor interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. She shared that she understood that "Retaliation Monitoring" is required and should be consistent with the timeline of the investigations. However, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM shared that she has recently assumed her role at TRCC and was not present during this investigation. She assured that going forward, "Retaliation Monitoring" will be a part of all investigations.

Finally, this PREA Standard 115.267 states, “(c) For at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, the agency shall monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse and of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff, and shall act promptly to remedy any such retaliation.”

This auditor recommended that TRCC conduct documented “Retaliation Monitoring” for every PREA investigation, as stated in ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02) and Coordinated Response Plan. “Retaliation Monitoring” should be at the following frequency: Initial, Day 30, Day 60, and Day 90. This auditor also recommended that TRCC establish a period of consistency in practice of conducting documented “Retaliation Monitoring” before this auditor can determine compliance. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.267. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, ARDOC’s PC shared that only 1 sexual abuse incident was reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. An “Initial” and “30-day” “Retaliation Assessment” (monitoring) was completed pending investigative outcome. She further shared that due to the allegation being determined to be “unfounded” after the 30-day “Retaliation Assessment,” the 60- and 90-day “Retaliation Assessment” was not completed. ARDOC PC also shared that no sexual harassment allegations have been reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. Finally, ARDOC’s PC submitted the 1 Sexual Abuse completed Investigation file/packet, as evidence of compliance. This auditor did observe that the “Initial” and “30-Day” “Retaliation Assessment” present.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.267.

<b>115.271</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.271. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas

Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.271. An excerpt states, “All allegations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment shall be promptly, thoroughly, and objectively investigated, including third-party and anonymous reports. A PREA investigation shall be initiated within twenty-four (24) hours of the incident upon report to the facility or DOC investigator or as soon as possible if referred for investigation to the Arkansas State Police (ASP). ASP shall be notified once the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution.

*Investigations shall be documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, the review of prior complaints and reports of Sexual Abuse involving the suspected Perpetrator, and investigative facts and findings. All investigations shall be consistent with the most updated version of the Coordinated Response Plan.”*

This PREA auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s “Coordinated Response Plan,” which discusses the conduct of Administrative PREA Investigations. Excerpts from ARDOC’s “Coordinated Response Plan” ARDOC’s “Coordinated Response Plan,” states,

**INVESTIGATIONS:**

**PREA Investigator:**

*The PREA investigator shall follow the following process to investigate allegations of sexual abuse:*

*1) Initiate the PREA investigation process within 24 hours or as circumstances dictate.*

*a. Gather and preserve physical and DNA evidence and available electronic monitoring data.*

*b. Interview victims, perpetrators, and witnesses.*

*i. Ask the victim if they would like a victim advocate or qualified staff member to provide emotional support PRIOR to interviewing.*

*c. Review prior complaints and reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator.*

*d. Assess the credibility of victims, perpetrators, and witnesses on an individual basis and not by the person’s status as adult in custody or staff.*

*2) Investigative report must include the following:*

*a. Description of the physical and testimonial evidence*

*b. Reasoning behind credibility assessment*

*c. Investigative facts and findings*

*3) Ensure all reports, evidence, and documentation are uploaded to eOmis and referred to the PREA compliance manager at the completion of the investigation.*

**PREA Compliance Manager:**

*Upon notification of an incident of sexual abuse, the PREA Compliance Manager shall complete the following duties:*

*1) Correspond with a victim advocate or qualified advocate if the victim would like emotional support during the investigative process.*

*2) Ensure the investigator assigned has completed Sexual Abuse Investigation Training (SAIT).*

*3) In allegations of sexual abuse by staff, contractor, or volunteer, consult the allegation with Warden to determine a course of action.*

*a. Separation of perpetrator from the victim.*

*i. Administrative leave*

*ii. Post reassignment Facility reassignment (if reasonable)*

*4) Monitor and provide technical resources to the PREA investigator.*

*5) Initiate retaliation monitoring (“Retaliation Assessment Form”).*

*6) Review all documentation included in the investigative packet and refer the incident to the warden for further review.*

**Warden:**

*Upon notification of an alleged incident of sexual abuse, the warden shall:*

*1) Ensure separation between the victim and perpetrator.*

*2) Forward all sexual abuse investigations to Internal Affairs for review and further investigation.*

**PREA Coordinator:**

*Upon notification of an incident of sexual abuse, the PREA Coordinator shall complete the following duties:*

*1) Review investigative packet to ensure compliance with policy and standards.*

*2) Ensure all information in eOmis is input accurately.*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and “Coordinated Response Plan,” concluding that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.271.

While onsite, this auditor also interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. At the time of this PREA facility onsite audit, she was the only staff specialized trained to conduct administrative PREA Investigations. This auditor shared a scenario of a resident being sexually assaulted in the shower, and asked, *"What is the PREA Investigator's coordinated responsibilities?"* TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM knew her responsibilities of evidence collection, Miranda/Garrity rights, interviewing procedures, understanding victim trauma, and investigation report-writing protocols. This auditor reviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM PREA investigator's training acknowledgement form she submitted. This training acknowledge form verified the specialized training TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM received training through ARDOC's 3-day *"Sexual Assault Investigation's Training."* This auditor also received and reviewed the 3-day specialized training curriculum, which contained all the components to align with this PREA Standard.

This auditor requested to see a random selection of completed sexual abuse investigations which have occurred within the past 12 months. TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted 2 investigations (1 substantiated Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse and 1 unfounded Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse). The 2 reviewed investigation files submitted were neatly organized, had detailed and robust content from initial incident and interviews to evidence identification. Furthermore, the investigation reports had a detailed summary of the investigation, preponderance of evidence conclusion (substantiated and unfounded), and recommendations. TRCC's substantiated investigation was referred to the Arkansas State Police (ASP) by ARDOC's Internal Affairs Division (on 10/30/23).

An additional excerpt from ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02) states, *"All PREA investigations shall be referred to the PCM, PREA Coordinator, and Warden or their designee for review and approval upon completion. Once approved by the Warden or designee, they shall be referred to Internal Affairs for final review."*

Currently, all PREA Investigations are investigated by an assigned Facility PREA Investigator (not concluded), then referred to the Facility's Warden for review, then referred to ARDOC's PREA Coordinator for additional review, then referred to ARDOC's Internal Affairs for further investigation and final determination. This auditor interviewed ARDOC's Internal Affairs Division (IAD) Manager, who shared that they are responsible for reviewing and further investigating all PREA allegation, reports, or incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. ARDOC's Internal Affairs further shared that they are often overwhelmed/swamped with traveling to various ARDOC facilities for further review, interviews, and conducting stress tests for sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations. ARDOC's Internal Affairs Manager shared that when they provide a conclusion of the investigation, IAD submits their written determination to ARDOC's PC, who formulates an inmate notification (for sexual abuse) to be shared with the victim.

Furthermore, according to ARDOC's PREA Coordinator and ARDOC's Internal Affairs Division (IAD), PREA investigations at ARDOC facilities could last up to 60 days (or more) for administrative investigations. This auditor believes this is far too long for PREA administrative investigations. This auditor can understand this length of time

for sexual abuse allegation, which is criminal and involves Arkansas State Police (ASP) investigations as well. Overall, this auditor correlates this long length of investigations with the multiple layers of review.

Moreover, during TRCC's onsite PREA audit closeout debriefing meeting with ARDOC's PC, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM, and TRCC's Captain, this auditor made some recommendations. This auditor recommended that TRCC's assigned "Specialized Trained PREA Administrative Investigator," be authorized to recommend a determination of the preponderance of evidence for PREA Administrative investigations. This auditor also recommended that completed non-criminal PREA Administrative Investigations should be, at most, reviewed by and finalized by ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (rather than go up to IAD for final review). This would allow for investigations final determinations to be concluded more rapidly and decrease any appearance of potential bias reviews by the facility's PCM or Warden. Furthermore, this auditor recommended that ARDOC's Internal Affairs solely be involved in criminal related PREA investigations. Administrative PREA Investigations, related to policy breach, staff action/inactions, and/or non-criminal/facility level sexual harassments investigations, should be reviewed/finalized by ARDOC's PC. ARDOC's PC should then submit the investigation's final determination cover letter back to the facility's Warden and facility PCM, along with the "*Notice of PREA Investigation Status*" (for sexual abuse victim to sign and receive notification of outcome).

Finally, during this debriefing meeting, this auditor recommended ARDOC's Internal Affairs Division cease requiring residents who allege sexual abuse to submit to internal affairs administered CVSA "voice stress test" (polygraph examination or other truth-telling devices) or be disciplined. Additionally, ARDOC's IAD should make it clear in their policy, procedures, and practices that residents who allege sexual abuse are not required to take IAD's CVSA "voice stress test."

On 2/4/2025, ARDOC's PC submitted their revised "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (SD 2025-01)," as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.271. The revised excerpt now states, "*All PREA investigations shall be referred to the PCM, PREA Coordinator, and Warden or their designee for review and approval upon completion. Once approved by the Warden or designee, they shall be referred to Internal Affairs for final review if there is a finding of potential criminal activity by the PREA coordinator.*"

On 2/4/2025, ARDOC's PC also submitted their revised "Arkansas Department of Corrections Internal Affairs Secretarial Directive (SD 2025-02)," as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.271. The revised excerpt now states, "*All incidents (excluding incidents involving PREA investigations) as defined in Administrative Rule 005, or a Department Policy, will be investigated, or reviewed by the Internal Affairs Division, which will report directly to the Secretary of Corrections... All incidents involving PREA investigations shall be reviewed in accordance with the procedures dictated in the current PREA Secretarial Directive.*"

	<p>This auditor recommended that TRCC establish a period of consistent practice of following/adhering to the above-mentioned revised Secretarial Directives (SD 2025-01 &amp; SD 2025-02) for investigations, as well as its procedures, before a determination of compliance can be concluded. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.271. Corrective Action was required.</p> <p>During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, ARDOC’s PC shared that only 1 sexual abuse incident was reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. ARDOC’s PC also shared that no sexual harassment allegations have been reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. ARDOC’s PC submitted the 1 Sexual Abuse completed Investigation file/packet, as evidence of compliance. The 1 investigation file submitted was neatly organized, had detailed and robust content from initial incident and interviews to evidence identification. Furthermore, the investigation reports had a detailed summary of the investigation, retaliation monitoring, preponderance of evidence conclusion (unfounded), and notification of outcome. Finally, this auditor reviewed ARDOC’s IAD “<i>Voluntary Computer Voice Stress Analysis Acknowledgement Form</i>,” which is only used by IAD when a victim/person reporting a PREA sexual abuse allegation desire to be administered the CVSA.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.271.</p>
--	--

<b>115.272</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.272. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” #1A-27 as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.272. An excerpt states, “<i>No standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence shall be imposed in determining whether allegations of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment are Substantiated for administrative investigations.</i>”</p>

This PREA auditor also reviewed ARDOC's "Coordinated Response Plan," which is a written prescription of the actions and conduct of all ARDOC facility level and agency level staff involved in incidents of sexual abuse and sexual harassment (amongst staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership). Excerpts from ARDOC's "Coordinated Response Plan" states,

***DETERMINATION OF FINDINGS:***

*All sexual abuse allegations will receive an Internal Affairs investigation. The Internal Affairs Administrator, Deputy Director, Director, and Secretary will determine the investigative outcome for all sexual abuse investigations. There are three potential investigative outcomes for sexual abuse investigations:*

1. ***SUBSTANTIATED:*** *Allegation was determined to have occurred*
2. ***UNSUBSTANTIATED:*** *Investigation produced insufficient evidence to make a final determination*
3. ***UNFOUNDED:*** *Allegation was determined to not have occurred*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and "Coordinated Response Plan," concluding that both has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.272.

While onsite, this auditor also interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. At the time of this PREA facility onsite audit, she was the only staff specialized trained to conduct administrative PREA Investigations. This auditor shared a scenario of a resident being sexually assaulted in the shower, and asked, "What is the PREA Investigator's coordinated responsibilities?" TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM knew her responsibilities of evidence collection, Miranda/Garrity rights, interviewing procedures, understanding victim trauma, and investigation report-writing protocols. This auditor reviewed ARDOC's "Coordinated Response Plan," which aligned with ARDOC's PREA Investigator's interview responses. Finally, this auditor reviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM PREA investigator's training acknowledgement form she submitted. This training acknowledge form verified the specialized training TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM received training through ARDOC's 3-day "Sexual Assault Investigation's Training." This auditor also received and reviewed the 3-day specialized training curriculum, which contained all the components to align with the PREA Standard.

This auditor requested and reviewed all three completed investigations within the year, to gain insight into TRCC's PREA Investigator reporting style and investigation content. TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted 2 investigations (1 substantiated Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse and 1 unfounded Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse). The 2 reviewed investigation files submitted were neatly organized, had detailed and robust content from initial incident and interviews to evidence identification. Furthermore, the investigation reports had a detailed summary of the investigation, however, when this auditor reviewed ARDOC's PREA investigation outcomes "Cover Sheet" (which shares the outcomes of the PREA Administrative Investigation), the

"Cover Sheet" had options unrelated to PREA 115.272's required preponderance of evidence which is: "unsubstantiated," "substantiated," or "unfounded." ARDOC's "Cover Sheet" has the following options: "not substantiated," "sustained," "exonerated," and "unfounded."

During TRCC's PREA Onsite Audit closeout debriefing meeting with ARDOC's PC, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM, and TRCC's Captain, this auditor made some recommendations. This auditor recommended that ARDOC revise their PREA investigation outcomes "Cover Sheet" to align with their "Secretarial Directive (2024-02)," their "Coordinated Response Plan," and this PREA Standard. ARDOC's "Cover Sheet" should only have "unsubstantiated," "substantiated," or "unfounded" as the preponderance of evidence options.

On 2/4/2025, ARDOC's PC submitted ARDOC's revised "*PREA Investigation Determination Cover Sheet*" (on ARDOC letterhead), to align with their "Secretarial Directive (2024-02) and "Coordinated Response Plan" as evidence of compliance with this PREA Standard 115.272. The revised ARDOC "*PREA Investigation Determination Cover Sheet*" only identifies "Unsubstantiated," "Substantiated," or "Unfounded" as the preponderance of evidence options, to align with this PREA Standard.

Finally, this auditor recommended that TRCC establish a period of consistent practice of imposing No standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence when determining whether allegations of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment are Substantiated for administrative investigations, before a determination of compliance can be concluded. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in compliance with PREA Standard 115.272. Corrective Action was required.

During TRCC's Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC's PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC's PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, ARDOC's PC shared that only 1 sexual abuse incident was reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. ARDOC's PC also shared that no sexual harassment allegations have been reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. ARDOC's PC submitted the 1 Sexual Abuse completed Investigation file/packet, as evidence of compliance. The 1 investigation file submitted was neatly organized, had detailed and robust content from initial incident and interviews to evidence identification. Furthermore, the investigation reports had a detailed summary of the investigation, retaliation monitoring, preponderance of evidence conclusion, the correct "*PREA Investigation Determination Cover Sheet*," and notification of outcome.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.272.

<b>115.273</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.273. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” #1A-27 as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.273. An excerpt states, <i>“The Victim shall be informed within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of the investigation.</i></p> <p><i>It shall be documented when the:</i></p> <p><i>a. Allegation has been determined to be Substantiated, Unsubstantiated, or Unfounded.</i></p> <p><i>b. Perpetrator is no longer posted within the Victim’s unit.</i></p> <p><i>c. Perpetrator is no longer employed. d. Perpetrator has been indicted or convicted on a charge related to the Sexual Abuse.</i></p> <p><i>The obligation to inform the Victim shall terminate if they are released from custody.”</i></p> <p>This PREA auditor also reviewed ARDOC’s “Coordinated Response Plan’s” offender notification sections which states,</p> <p><b>OFFENDER NOTIFICATION</b></p> <p><b>PREA Coordinator:</b></p> <p><i>Upon notification of an investigative outcome of sexual abuse, the PREA Coordinator shall complete the following duties:</i></p> <p>1) <i>Send notification of the investigative outcome (“Sexual Abuse Notification Form”) to the PREA Compliance Manager.</i></p> <p><b>PREA Compliance Manager:</b></p> <p><i>Upon notification of an investigative outcome of sexual abuse, the PREA Compliance Manager shall complete the following duties:</i></p> <p>2) <i>Ensure victim receives notification of their sexual abuse investigative outcome provided by the PREA Coordinator.</i></p> <p>While onsite, this auditor also interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM. At the time of this PREA facility onsite audit, she was the only staff specialized trained to</p>

	<p>conduct administrative PREA Investigations. This auditor shared a scenario of a resident being sexually assaulted in the shower, and asked, <i>“What is the PREA Investigator’s coordinated responsibilities?”</i> TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM knew her responsibilities of evidence collection, Miranda/Garrity rights, interviewing procedures, understanding victim trauma, and investigation report-writing protocols. This auditor reviewed ARDOC’s <i>“Coordinated Response Plan,”</i> which aligned with ARDOC’s PREA Investigator’s interview responses. Finally, this auditor reviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM PREA investigator’s training acknowledgement form she submitted. This training acknowledge form verified the specialized training TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM received training through ARDOC’s 3-day <i>“Sexual Assault Investigation’s Training.”</i> This auditor also received and reviewed the 3-day specialized training curriculum, which contained all the components to align with the PREA Standard.</p> <p>Additionally, this auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PC who shared that at the conclusion of all PREA Investigations, she completes the contents of the <i>“Notice of Investigation Status”</i> (resident notification), then emails it to the facility’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The facility’s PCM is responsible for presenting/ delivering the resident notification to the resident who initially made the PREA allegation/alleged victim. Once the <i>“Notice of Investigation Status”</i> (resident notification) is presented/delivered to the resident, the resident signs, acknowledging receipt or the notification. ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator further shared that the completed <i>“Notice of PREA Investigation Status”</i> (resident notification) is this uploaded in ARDOC’s <i>“eOmni System.”</i></p> <p>This auditor requested and reviewed completed investigations within the year, to gain insight into TRCC’s PREA Investigator reporting style and investigation content. TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM submitted 2 investigations (1 substantiated Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse and 1 unfounded Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse). The 2 reviewed investigation files submitted were neatly organized, had detailed and robust content from initial incident and interviews to evidence identification and detailed summaries of the investigation. Both investigations also had <i>“Notice of PREA Investigation Status”</i> present.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.273.</p>
--	---

<b>115.276</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA

	<p>Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.276. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.276. An excerpt states, <i>“a. Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment policies.</i></p> <p><i>b. Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for Staff who engage in Sexual Abuse. The former employee will not be eligible for rehire.</i></p> <p><i>c. Disciplinary sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed and the Staff member’s disciplinary history.</i></p> <p><i>d. All terminations for Sexual Abuse, or resignations of Staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies.”</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.276.</p> <p>While on site, this auditor interviewed TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM who shared that TRCC response for substantiated outcomes of staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigations can range in various forms of disciplinary actions, up to termination and criminal referral. This auditor also interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator, who shared that once ARDOC’s Internal Affairs concludes/affirms that the investigation is substantiated for staff sexual abuse, they take immediate legal action, and termination is ARDOC’s presumptively response. After this onsite audit, this auditor contacted and interviewed ARDOC's Internal Affairs Director (via ZOOM). He confirmed ARDOC's "Zero Tolerance" policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as the information shared by ARDOC's PREA Coordinator and TRCC’s Deputy Warden/PCM.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.276.</p>
--	--

<b>115.277</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard</p>

115.277. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.277. An excerpt states, "a. Staff shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment policies.

b. Termination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for Staff who engage in Sexual Abuse. The former employee will not be eligible for rehire.

c. Disciplinary sanctions shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed and the Staff member's disciplinary history.

d. All terminations for Sexual Abuse, or resignations of Staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, will be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to any relevant licensing bodies."

According to ARDOC's PREA Coordinator explanation submitted in OAS, " Under the PREA policy, we define "staff" to include volunteers and contractors. Therefore, any time "staff" is stated in the PREA policy, it also includes contractors and volunteers unless designated otherwise." This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.277.

While on site, this auditor interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM who shared that ARDOC's and TRCC's corrective action responses for substantiated sexual abuse outcomes for contracted staff, contractors, and volunteers can range in various forms of disciplinary measures, up to notifying licensing bodies and criminal referral.

This auditor also interviewed ARDOC's PREA Coordinator, who shared that once ARDOC's Internal Affairs concludes/affirms that the investigation is substantiated for staff sexual abuse, they take immediate legal action and ceases all contact with and access to ARDOC facilities. After this onsite audit, this auditor contacted and interviewed ARDOC's Internal Affairs Director (via ZOOM). He confirmed ARDOC's "Zero Tolerance" policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment, as well as the information shared by TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM and ARDOC's PREA Coordinator.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.277.

115.278	Disciplinary sanctions for residents
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center's Online Audit System

(OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.278. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their "Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.278. An excerpt states, "a. Offenders may be disciplined for Substantiated incidents of Offender-on-Offender Sexual Abuse. If an Offender has pending disciplinary sanctions for Offender-on-Offender Sexual Abuse, consideration shall be given as to whether the Offender's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what level of sanction, if any, will be imposed.

b. An Offender may be labeled as a PREA Sex Offender in eOmis for any substantiated allegation of Sexual Abuse. The PREA Sex Offender precaution shall be approved by the facility PCM and DOC PREA Coordinator.

c. Offenders may not be disciplined for Sexual Abuse of a Staff member if the Staff member consented.

d. An Offender may be disciplined for reporting a false allegation of Sexual Abuse or Sexual Harassment only where the facility can demonstrate the false allegation was knowingly made in bad faith. A report made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the conduct occurred shall not constitute a false report or lying even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.

e. An Offender may be disciplined for abusing the PREA Hotline. Abuse includes, but is not limited to:

- i. Calling about a non-PREA related issue;
- ii. Repeatedly calling about the same allegation; or
- iii. Threatening the safety of Staff or other Offenders."

This auditor also reviewed "Arkansas Department of Corrections Administrative Directive (2024-04)-Inmate Disciplinary Manual" as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.278. An excerpt states, "PREA Charge = Any Rule Violation that is connected to the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) and requires a response directed by the Department's PREA Policy. The outcome for a PREA violation may direct a precaution to be entered into the electronic offender file indicating predator or victim identifications. This would include incidents of:

1. Sexual misconduct;
2. Rape or forced sexual act;
3. Masturbation in the presence of another;
4. Sexual threats;
5. Sexual harassment;
6. Demanding sexual acts in trade; and
7. Aiding or abetting in any of the above.

Additionally, ARDOC's Administrative Directive (2024-04) identifies the following acts are considered Class A penalty class behaviors. Class A behaviors are the highest disciplinary behaviors within all ARDOC's facilities. The PREA related behaviors are as follows:

**SEXUAL ACTIVITY CATEGORIES**

**10-1.** *Engaging in non-abusive sexual activity with another consenting person.*

**10-2.** *Making sexual proposals to another person. (PREA)*

**10-3.** *Indecent Exposure and/or Masturbation; may result in a referral for criminal prosecution (examples include, but are not limited to, verbal and/or non-verbal gestures).*

**10-4.** *Bestiality.*

**10-5.** *Masturbation in the presence of another inmate.*

**10-7.** *Demanding sexual contact in trade or for protection from physical harm or mental anguish, or other victimization.*

**4-10.** *Rape or forced sexual act with/on an inmate. Rule Violation may result in the loss of all good time. (PREA) Rape is a crime and may result in criminal prosecution for a Class Y Felony. If convicted, may result in a life sentence.*

**4-19.** *Rape or forced sexual act on staff, volunteer, contractor or other individual not incarcerated at the time of the incident. Rule Violation may result in the loss of all good time. Rape is a crime and may result in criminal prosecution for a Class Y Felony. If convicted, may result in a life sentence.*

This auditor reviewed "ARDOC's Secretarial Directive (2024-02)" and "Administrative Directive (2024-04)," concluding that both has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.278.

While onsite, this auditor interviewed 22 residents and asked about TRCC's rules and sanctions for resident-on resident sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Residents were clear that sexual abuse and sexual harassment is not tolerated at TRCC's facility. Each interviewed resident shared that they understood that sexual abuse is not tolerated and is a "**CLASS A**" infraction. This PREA auditor also interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. Both individually shared that TRCC's protocol on substantiated resident-on-resident sexual abuse investigations. This was aligned with ARDOC's above-mentioned directives on resident sanctions for sexual abuse/sexual harassment. TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM also shared that sanctions for residents may be removal from TRCC's program and transferred to an ARDOC facility of higher custody/security.

Finally, TRCC Deputy Warden/PCM shared that resident sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other

	<p>residents with similar histories. Each also shared that the disciplinary committee does take into consideration any diagnosed/documentated history of mental health/mental disabilities prior to making sanction determinations.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.278.</p>
--	--

<b>115.282</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.282. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.282. An excerpt states, <i>“a. All Offenders who have been Victims of Sexual Abuse in any correctional facility shall be offered medical and mental health evaluations and, as appropriate, any necessary treatment related to Sexual Abuse. This includes timely and unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which shall be determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. This also includes timely and comprehensive information about emergency contraception, pregnancy testing, sexually transmitted infection testing and prophylaxis, and lawful pregnancy-related medical services deemed appropriate by the medical practitioner.</i></p> <p><i>b. Mental health practitioners shall attempt to conduct an evaluation on all known Offender-on-Offender Perpetrators within sixty (60) days of learning of such abuse and provide treatment as deemed appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>c. Current and previous Victims of Sexual Abuse shall receive any medical and mental health services related to the Sexual Abuse at no cost to the Offender.”</i></p> <p>This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.282.</p> <p>While on site, this auditor conducted an exhaustive site review/tour of TRCC and observed a medical triage area for medical services at TRCC. This auditor interviewed WellPath’s Health Services Administrator (HSA) who shared that TRCC primarily utilizes “Little River Hospital” for medical services for their residents. WellPath’s HSA and additional nursing personnel respond to TRCC residents’ needs through available 24-hour medical services on site, as well responding to “sick call”</p>

	<p>or “medical requests” via TRCC’s resident kiosks. WellPath’s HSA further shared that medical decisions are made based on the practitioner’s professional judgements, Furthermore, Wellpath’s HSA shared that resident victims of sexual abuse receive unimpeded access to medical services in-house and is referred to “<i>Little River Hospital</i>” (or other community partner hospitals) for acute/serious medical services. Victims’ residents are informed about emergency contraception by the local hospital and followed up by a Wellpath medical practitioner.</p> <p>During this onsite audit, this auditor was unable to interview a mental health staff, due to no mental health practitioner on staff. However, Wellpath’s HSA shared that Wellpath is actively seeking to hire a mental health team for TRCC. In the meantime, she utilizes her mental health team from their ARDOC facility next door (SWACCC) to respond to mental health requests/needs. Furthermore, Wellpath’s HSA shared, and this auditor observed Wellpath’s medical team, and the mental health practitioner work together to ensure that victims receive appropriate medical and mental health care, as well as emotional support provisions. WellPath’s HSA shared that medical, mental health, and crisis intervention services are provided to the victims of sexual abuse without financial cost.</p> <p>Finally, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 residents, asking about the effectiveness and responsiveness of medical and mental health care at TRCC. All 22 residents shared positive responses about the provision of support and assistance by Wellpath’s medical and mental health staff. There was consistency in responses to “medical and mental health requests” turnaround time is within 24 hours.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.282.</p>
--	---

<b>115.283</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.283. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.283. An excerpt states, “a. All Offenders who have been Victims of Sexual Abuse in any correctional facility shall be offered medical and mental health evaluations and, as appropriate, any necessary treatment related to Sexual Abuse. This includes timely and unimpeded access to</p>

*emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which shall be determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment. This also includes timely and comprehensive information about emergency contraception, pregnancy testing, sexually transmitted infection testing and prophylaxis, and lawful pregnancy-related medical services deemed appropriate by the medical practitioner.*

*b. Mental health practitioners shall attempt to conduct an evaluation on all known Offender-on-Offender Perpetrators within sixty (60) days of learning of such abuse and provide treatment as deemed appropriate.*

*c. Current and previous Victims of Sexual Abuse shall receive any medical and mental health services related to the Sexual Abuse at no cost to the Offender.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.283.

While on site, this auditor conducted an exhaustive site review/tour of TRCC and observed a medical triage area for medical services at SWACCC. This auditor interviewed Wellpath’s Medical Director who shared that TRCC primarily utilizes “Little River Hospital” for medical services for their residents. Wellpath’s Medical Director and TRCC also assist residents in navigating and coordinating the medical services they need. Wellpath’s Medical Director and Treatment Supervisor further shared that medical and mental health decisions are made based on their professional judgement, and victims’ residents are informed about emergency contraception by the local hospital they are taken to and followed up by TRCC (or the hospital based on the scope of follow-up).

Additionally, Wellpath’s Medical Director and Treatment Supervisor shared that TRCC staff work together to ensure that resident victims receive appropriate medical and mental health care, as well as emotional support provisions. TRCC resident victims of sexual abuse receive unimpeded access to medical services with community partner hospitals for acute/serious medical services. Finally, Wellpath’s Medical Director and Treatment Supervisor shared that medical, mental health, and crisis intervention services are provided to the victims of sexual abuse without financial cost. TRCC’s Medical Director further stated that resident victims are offered sexually transmitted infections tests, informed about emergency contraception, and provided follow-up medical services through the local hospital where the resident would be transported.

Finally, this auditor interviewed a random selection of 22 residents, asking about the effectiveness of medical and mental health care. All 22 residents shared positive responses about the provision of support and assistance by TRCC and ARDOC team of staff. There was consistency in responses to “sick residents” turnaround time is within 24 hours.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.283.

<b>115.286</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>
	<p data-bbox="280 188 983 224"><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="280 264 564 300"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 340 1484 958">This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.286. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) submitted their “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.286. An excerpt states, <i>“All facilities shall conduct a review, ordinarily within thirty (30) days, at the conclusion of every Sexual Abuse investigation unless the allegation was determined to be Unfounded. An investigation shall be deemed to be concluded upon the review and approval of the investigation report by the Internal Affairs Division, Division Director, and the Secretary. The review team shall consist of upper-level management officials with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The review team shall:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="280 999 1375 1070"><i>a. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicated a need to revise policies or practices to better prevent, detect, or respond to Sexual Abuse.</i></li> <li data-bbox="280 1111 1469 1227"><i>b. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; LGBTI identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility.</i></li> <li data-bbox="280 1267 1433 1339"><i>c. Examine the area in the facility where the incident occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse.</i></li> <li data-bbox="280 1379 1358 1415"><i>d. Assess the adequacy of Staffing levels in that area during different shifts.</i></li> <li data-bbox="280 1456 1398 1527"><i>e. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by Staff.</i></li> <li data-bbox="280 1568 1453 1684"><i>f. Prepare a report of its findings, including determinations made from sections a-e and any recommendations for improvement and submit the report to the facility head and PCM.</i></li> </ul> <p data-bbox="280 1724 1353 1796"><i>The facility shall implement the recommendations for improvement or shall document its reasons for not doing so.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1836 1469 1908">This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02)” and has concluded that it has the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.286.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1948 1477 2065">While onsite ARDOC’s PC submitted their the “30-Day Sexual Abuse Incident Review” (SAIR) form that all ARDOC facilities utilizes. This SAIR form assesses needs based on reviewing multiple elements related to the specific facility (by the SAIR</p>

team), then culminates to findings and recommendations. The form contained all the components/review questions which align with PREA Standard 115.286. The review questions within ARDOC's "30-Day Sexual Abuse Incident Review" includes:

1. *Identifying whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change ARDOC's policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse.*
2. *Identifying whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility.*
3. *Assessing the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether blind spots or barriers in the area may enable abuse.*
4. *Assessing staffing levels in that area during the shift at the time of the incident.*
5. *Assessing whether there is a need for video/audio monitoring technology to supplement supervision by staff.*

Additionally, this auditor interviewed 22 randomly selected TRCC residents asking, "Have you reported or has there been any reports of sexual abuse or sexual harassment at TRCC since you've been here?" Each interviewed resident shared that they have not reported sexual abuse or sexual harassment, and do not recall any incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that has occurred at TRCC.

However, when this auditor interviewed TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM. She shared that she understood that Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews (SAIR) occur within 30 days of the conclusion of sexual abuse investigations. However, TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM shared that she has recently assumed her role at TRCC and has not established TRCC's SAIR multidisciplinary team yet.

Furthermore, this auditor requested to see a random selection of completed sexual abuse investigations which occurred within the past 12 months. TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM submitted 2 investigations (1 substantiated Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse and 1 unfounded Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse). TRCC's substantiated investigation was referred to the Internal Affairs Division (IAD), who subsequently referred the investigation to the *Arkansas State Police (ASP)* on 10/30/23. However, when this auditor reviewed the investigation packet, no SAIR team meeting completed/documented.

This auditor recommended that TRCC establish their SAIR team which includes at minimum: TRCC's Deputy Warden/PCM, PREA Investigator, Mental and Medical representative, and Security Staff representative. This auditor also recommended TRCC utilize the SAIR form submitted by ARDOC's PC, which contains all the elements/review questions to align with this 115.286 PREA standard. Finally, TRCC needed to establish a period of consistency in practice conducting SAIR team meetings before this auditor can determine compliance. This PREA auditor concluded that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) was not in

	<p>compliance with PREA Standard 115.286. Corrective Action was required.</p> <p>During TRCC’s Corrective Action Period (CAP), this auditor conducted and engaged in a series of meetings and email correspondence with ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC) and TRCC’s PREA Compliance Manager (PCM). The goal was to discuss the recommended corrective actions needed to meet compliance with this standard. After the meetings and email correspondence, ARDOC’s PC shared that only 1 sexual abuse incident was reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. ARDOC’s PC also shared that no sexual harassment allegations have been reported since the conclusion of this PREA onsite audit. ARDOC’s PC submitted the 1 Sexual Abuse completed Investigation file/packet, as evidence of compliance. The 1 investigation file submitted was neatly organized, had detailed and robust content from initial incident and interviews to evidence identification. Furthermore, the investigation reports had a detailed summary of the investigation, retaliation monitoring, preponderance of evidence conclusion (unfounded), and notification of outcome. She further shared that due to the allegation being determined to be “unfounded,” TRCC’s <i>Sexual Abuse Incident Review (SAIR)</i> team were not required to convene.</p> <p>ARDOC’s PC also shared that the PC send a reminder email to the facility’s PCM, notifying them that the SAIR team meeting are coming due (on the 25th day). At that juncture, the facility’s PCM communicates with their SAIR team members to meet (by the 30th day concluding the sexual abuse substantiated/unsubstantiated investigation). Finally, ARDOC’s PC submitted their “<i>SAIR Reminder Tracker</i>” (Excel document), as evidence that ARDOC’s PC tracked TRCC’s 1 “unfounded” sexual abuse investigation which she submitted.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.286.</p>
--	--

<b>115.287</b>	<b>Data collection</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.287. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center follows the Arkansas Department of Corrections policies and submitted the “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02: Subject: PREA)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.287. An excerpt states, “1. <i>Data shall be collected for every allegation of Sexual Abuse using the PREA investigating screens in eOmis designed</i></p>

*to contain the data necessary to answer all questions for the Survey of Sexual Violence requested annually from the Department of Justice for the set of definitions. All data collected shall be securely retained.*

*2. Each facility shall document the number of allegations, completed investigations, and investigative outcomes in a monthly report. The report shall be submitted to the PREA Coordinator along with the facilities tracking spreadsheet.*

*3. All data from available incident-based documents related to allegations of Sexual Abuse shall be collected, reviewed, and maintained as needed.*

*4. Data shall be obtained from each private facility which contracts for the confinement of DOC Offenders.*

*5. Aggregated data collected shall be made available to the public annually through the DOC website.*

*6. All case records associated with claims of sexual offenses, including incident reports, investigation reports, Offender information, case disposition, and medical and counseling evaluation findings and recommendations for post-release treatment or counseling, shall be retained in accordance with the records retention schedule.”*

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02: Subject: PREA) and concludes that they have the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.287.

This auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC). She shared the process for collecting and aggregating monthly data on sexual abuse/sexual harassment incidents and investigations. She also shared that she receives sexual abuse incident/investigation information from each ARDOC facility’s PREA Compliance Manager/Administrator, develops monthly and annual reports, then submits them to ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections for review/approval. This PREA auditor also interviewed ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager, who is the designated gatekeeper of electronic information being disseminated to the public via ARDOC’s website (after approval from ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections (agency head) and PREA Coordinator’s submittal).

Additionally, ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager walked this auditor through the process once she receives PREA Annual Reports to the reports “going live” onto ARDOC’s website for public viewing. ARDOC’s PC and IT/Social Media Manager shared that Personal Identifiers are not written into annual reports or would be redacted prior to “going live” for public viewing. Finally, ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager shared that ARDOC PREA-related documents are still available for up to 10 years. This auditor reviewed annual reports on ARDOC’s website from 2015 through 2023. Each report contained corrective actions taken. ARDOC is conducting their first agency/facility audits in this 3rd year of this 4th cycle.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.287.

<b>115.288</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>
	<p data-bbox="280 188 983 224"><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <hr/> <p data-bbox="280 264 564 300"><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p data-bbox="280 340 1484 878">This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.288. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center follows the Arkansas Department of Corrections policies and submitted the “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02: Subject: PREA)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.288. An excerpt states, <i>“1. Data shall be collected for every allegation of Sexual Abuse using the PREA investigating screens in eOmis designed to contain the data necessary to answer all questions for the Survey of Sexual Violence requested annually from the Department of Justice for the set of definitions. All data collected shall be securely retained.</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 913 1468 1030"><i>2. Each facility shall document the number of allegations, completed investigations, and investigative outcomes in a monthly report. The report shall be submitted to the PREA Coordinator along with the facilities tracking spreadsheet.</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1066 1468 1146"><i>3. All data from available incident-based documents related to allegations of Sexual Abuse shall be collected, reviewed, and maintained as needed.</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1182 1334 1263"><i>4. Data shall be obtained from each private facility which contracts for the confinement of DOC Offenders.</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1299 1468 1379"><i>5. Aggregated data collected shall be made available to the public annually through the DOC website.</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1415 1461 1612"><i>6. All case records associated with claims of sexual offenses, including incident reports, investigation reports, Offender information, case disposition, and medical and counseling evaluation findings and recommendations for post-release treatment or counseling, shall be retained in accordance with the records retention schedule.”</i></p> <p data-bbox="280 1648 1455 1774">This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02: Subject: PREA) and concludes that they have the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.288.</p> <p data-bbox="280 1809 1461 2096">While onsite at ARDOC’s Headquarters, this auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC). She shared the process for collecting and aggregating monthly data on sexual abuse/sexual harassments incidents and investigations. She also shared that she receives sexual abuse incident/investigation information from each ARDOC facility’s PREA Compliance Manager/Superintendent, develop monthly and annual reports, then submit ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections for review/approval. This PREA auditor also interviewed ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager, who’s the</p>

	<p>gatekeeper of electronic information being disseminated to the public via ARDOC’s website (after approval from ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections (agency head) and PREA Coordinator’s submittal). Additionally, ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager walked this auditor through the process once she receives PREA Annual Reports to the reports “going live” onto ARDOC’s website for public viewing. Finally, ARDOC’s PC and IT/Social Media Manager shared that Personal Identifiers are not written into annual reports or redacted prior to “going live” for public viewing. Finally, this auditor reviewed annual reports on ARDOC’s website from 2015 through 2023. Each report contained corrective actions taken. ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager shared that ARDOC PREA-related documents are still available for up to 10 years.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.288.</p>
--	--

<b>115.289</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p>
	<p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA Resource Center’s Online Audit System (OAS), as well as documents submitted through other electronic sources. This PREA Auditor also relied upon documentation from on-site interviews, as well as on-site documents/files reviewed and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.289. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center follows the Arkansas Department of Corrections policies and submitted the “Arkansas Department of Corrections PREA Secretarial Directive (2024-02: Subject: PREA)” as evidence of compliance with PREA Standard 115.289. An excerpt states, <i>“1. Data shall be collected for every allegation of Sexual Abuse using the PREA investigating screens in eOmis designed to contain the data necessary to answer all questions for the Survey of Sexual Violence requested annually from the Department of Justice for the set of definitions. All data collected shall be securely retained.</i></p> <p><i>2. Each facility shall document the number of allegations, completed investigations, and investigative outcomes in a monthly report. The report shall be submitted to the PREA Coordinator along with the facilities tracking spreadsheet.</i></p> <p><i>3. All data from available incident-based documents related to allegations of Sexual Abuse shall be collected, reviewed, and maintained as needed.</i></p> <p><i>4. Data shall be obtained from each private facility which contracts for the confinement of DOC Offenders.</i></p> <p><i>5. Aggregated data collected shall be made available to the public annually through the DOC website.</i></p>

6. All case records associated with claims of sexual offenses, including incident reports, investigation reports, Offender information, case disposition, and medical and counseling evaluation findings and recommendations for post-release treatment or counseling, shall be retained in accordance with the records retention schedule.”

This auditor reviewed “ARDOC’s Secretarial Directive (2024-02: Subject: PREA) and concludes that they have the necessary language to align with PREA Standard 115.289.

While onsite at ARDOC’s Headquarters, this auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC). She shared the process for collecting and aggregating monthly data on sexual abuse/sexual harassments incidents and investigations. She also shared that she receives sexual abuse incident/investigation information from each ARDOC facility’s PREA Compliance Manager/Superintendent, develop monthly and annual reports, then submit ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections for review/approval. This PREA auditor also interviewed ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager, who’s the gatekeeper of electronic information being disseminated to the public via ARDOC’s website (after approval from ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections (agency head) and PREA Coordinator’s submittal). Additionally, ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager walked this auditor through the process once she receives PREA Annual Reports to the reports “going live” onto ARDOC’s website for public viewing. Finally, ARDOC’s PC and IT/Social Media Manager shared that Personal Identifiers are not written into annual reports or redacted prior to “going live” for public viewing. Finally, this auditor reviewed annual reports on ARDOC’s website from 2015 through 2023. Each report contained corrective actions taken. ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager shared that ARDOC PREA-related documents are still available for up to 10 years.

This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.289.

<b>115.401</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>
	<b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard
	<b>Auditor Discussion</b>
	<p>Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) understands PREA Standard 115.401, which states, “During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and during each three-year period thereafter, the agency shall ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, is audited at least once.” This auditor interviewed ARDOC's Secretary of Corrections (agency head), who shared that she and Arkansas Governor supports and are committed to their facilities receiving PREA Audits during this 3rd year of this 4th Cycle. Texarkana Regional Correctional Center plans to continue to have a PREA audit conducted every three years. This is TRCC’s first PREA Facility Audit in the</p>

	<p>third year of this current audit cycle. This auditor had access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of Central Arkansas Community Correction Center. This auditor was permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents. The auditor was permitted to conduct private interviews with facility staff whose roles held responsibility for specific PREA Standards. TRCC residents were permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.401.</p>
--	--

<b>115.403</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>
	<p><b>Auditor Overall Determination:</b> Meets Standard</p> <p><b>Auditor Discussion</b></p> <p>This PREA Auditor reviewed Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC), pre-audit evidentiary documents uploaded via PREA’s Online Audit System (OAS), documents submitted through other electronic sources, conducted on-site interviews, as well as on-site document/file reviews and observations to determine compliance for Standard 115.403.</p> <p>ARDOC has not had any PREA Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years. However, this auditor interviewed ARDOC’s PREA Coordinator (PC). She shared the process for collecting and aggregating monthly data on sexual abuse/sexual harassment incidents and investigations. She also shared that she receives sexual abuse incident/investigation information from each ARDOC facility’s PREA Compliance Manager/Administrator, develops monthly and annual reports, then submits them to ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections for review/approval. This PREA auditor also interviewed ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager, who is the designated gatekeeper of electronic information being disseminated to the public via ARDOC’s website (after approval from ARDOC’s Secretary of Corrections (agency head) and PREA Coordinator’s submittal). Additionally, ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager walked this auditor through the process once she receives PREA Annual Reports to the reports “going live” onto ARDOC’s website for public viewing. Finally, ARDOC’s PC and IT/Social Media Manager shared that Personal Identifiers are not written into annual reports or would be redacted prior to “going live” for public viewing. Finally, this auditor reviewed annual reports on ARDOC’s website from 2015 through 2023. Each report contained corrective actions taken. TRCC will be conducting their first facility audit in this 3rd year of this 4th cycle. Finally, ARDOC’s IT/Social Media Manager shared that ARDOC PREA-related documents are still available for up to 10 years.</p> <p>This PREA auditor concludes that Texarkana Regional Correctional Center (TRCC) is in compliance with PREA Standard 115.403.</p>

<b>Appendix: Provision Findings</b>		
<b>115.211 (a)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.211 (b)</b>	<b>Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</b>	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its community confinement facilities?	yes
<b>115.212 (a)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private agencies or other entities, including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to adopt and comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	yes
<b>115.212 (b)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.)	yes
<b>115.212 (c)</b>	<b>Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents</b>	
	If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in	yes

	emergency circumstances after making all reasonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to confine residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)	
	In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.)	yes
<b>115.213 (a)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
<b>115.213 (b)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (NA if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
<b>115.213 (c)</b>	<b>Supervision and monitoring</b>	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing	yes

	staffing patterns?	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels?	yes
<b>115.215 (a)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes
<b>115.215 (b)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na
<b>115.215 (c)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents?	yes
<b>115.215 (d)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes
	Does the facility have procedures that enable residents to shower,	yes

	perform bodily functions, and change clothing without non-medical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing?	yes
<b>115.215 (e)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status?	yes
	If the resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes
<b>115.215 (f)</b>	<b>Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches</b>	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes
<b>115.216 (a)</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision?	yes

	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Who are blind or have low vision?	yes
<b>115.216 (b)</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>	

	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
<b>115.216 (c)</b>	<b>Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations?	yes
<b>115.217 (a)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above ?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of	yes

	force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	
	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two questions immediately above ?	yes
<b>115.217 (b)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents?	yes
	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
<b>115.217 (c)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check?	yes
	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.217 (d)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents?	yes
<b>115.217 (e)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
<b>115.217</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	

<b>(f)</b>		
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with residents directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
<b>115.217 (g)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
<b>115.217 (h)</b>	<b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b>	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
<b>115.218 (a)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technology</b>	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
<b>115.218 (b)</b>	<b>Upgrades to facilities and technology</b>	
	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the	na

	agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated any video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012 or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	
<b>115.221 (a)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.221 (b)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (NA if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.221 (c)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
<b>115.221 (d)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member?	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
<b>115.221 (e)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
<b>115.221 (f)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
<b>115.221 (h)</b>	<b>Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations</b>	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims per 115.221(d) above).	yes

<b>115.222 (a)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.222 (b)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	Does the agency have a policy in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
<b>115.222 (c)</b>	<b>Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations</b>	
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
<b>115.231 (a)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with	yes

	residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
<b>115.231 (b)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility?	yes
	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?	yes
<b>115.231 (c)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training,	yes

	does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	
<b>115.231 (d)</b>	<b>Employee training</b>	
	Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.232 (a)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
<b>115.232 (b)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)?	yes
<b>115.232 (c)</b>	<b>Volunteer and contractor training</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
<b>115.233 (a)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: How to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes

	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.233 (b)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility?	yes
<b>115.233 (c)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
<b>115.233 (d)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions?	yes
<b>115.233 (e)</b>	<b>Resident education</b>	
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
<b>115.234 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent	yes

	the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	
<b>115.234 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings?(N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
	Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a)).	yes
<b>115.234 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Investigations</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
<b>115.235 (a)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes

	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
<b>115.235 (b)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.)	yes
<b>115.235 (c)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
<b>115.235 (d)</b>	<b>Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</b>	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by	yes

	and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? (N/A for circumstances in which a particular status (employee or contractor/volunteer) does not apply.)	
<b>115.241 (a)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents?	yes
	Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents?	yes
<b>115.241 (b)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
<b>115.241 (c)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?	yes
<b>115.241 (d)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization:	yes

	Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
<b>115.241 (e)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes
	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.241 (f)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes

<b>115.241 (g)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes
<b>115.241 (h)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Is it the case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?	yes
<b>115.241 (i)</b>	<b>Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</b>	
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other residents?	yes
<b>115.242 (a)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes

	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes
<b>115.242 (b)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident?	yes
<b>115.242 (c)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?	yes
<b>115.242 (d)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes
<b>115.242 (e)</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	
	Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents?	yes
<b>115.242</b>	<b>Use of screening information</b>	

<b>(f)</b>		
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes
<b>115.251 (a)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
<b>115.251 (b)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	

	Does the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?	yes
	Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?	yes
	Does that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request?	yes
<b>115.251 (c)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Do staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Do staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
<b>115.251 (d)</b>	<b>Resident reporting</b>	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of residents?	yes
<b>115.252 (a)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
<b>115.252 (b)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve	yes

	with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
<b>115.252 (c)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that: a resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency ensure that: such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.252 (d)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.252 (e)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third party files such a request on behalf	yes

	of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.252 (f)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the resident is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	yes
<b>115.252 (g)</b>	<b>Exhaustion of administrative remedies</b>	
	If the agency disciplines a resident for filing a grievance related to	yes

	alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the resident filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	
<b>115.253 (a)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
<b>115.253 (b)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.253 (c)</b>	<b>Resident access to outside confidential support services</b>	
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
<b>115.254 (a)</b>	<b>Third party reporting</b>	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of a resident?	yes
<b>115.261 (a)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or	yes

	information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	yes
<b>115.261 (b)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
<b>115.261 (c)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
<b>115.261 (d)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
<b>115.261 (e)</b>	<b>Staff and agency reporting duties</b>	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes

<b>115.262 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection duties</b>	
	When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident?	yes
<b>115.263 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
<b>115.263 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes
<b>115.263 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
<b>115.263 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to other confinement facilities</b>	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
<b>115.264 (a)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate,	yes

	washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	
	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
<b>115.264 (b)</b>	<b>Staff first responder duties</b>	
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
<b>115.265 (a)</b>	<b>Coordinated response</b>	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.266 (a)</b>	<b>Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers</b>	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
<b>115.267 (a)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?	yes

	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
<b>115.267 (b)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
<b>115.267 (c)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency:4. Monitor resident housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes?	yes

	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignment of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
<b>115.267 (d)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
<b>115.267 (e)</b>	<b>Agency protection against retaliation</b>	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
<b>115.271 (a)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a). )	yes
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a). )	yes
<b>115.271 (b)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234?	yes
<b>115.271 (c)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial	yes

	evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
<b>115.271 (d)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	yes
<b>115.271 (e)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?	yes
	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes
<b>115.271 (f)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?	yes
	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
<b>115.271 (g)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
<b>115.271</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	

<b>(h)</b>		
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
<b>115.271 (i)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
<b>115.271 (j)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the facility or agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
<b>115.271 (l)</b>	<b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b>	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)	yes
<b>115.272 (a)</b>	<b>Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations</b>	
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
<b>115.273 (a)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes
<b>115.273 (b)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency	yes

	request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	
<b>115.273 (c)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
<b>115.273 (d)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform	yes

	the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	
<b>115.273 (e)</b>	<b>Reporting to residents</b>	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
<b>115.276 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
<b>115.276 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.276 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.276 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for staff</b>	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.277 (a)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
<b>115.277 (b)</b>	<b>Corrective action for contractors and volunteers</b>	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents?	yes
<b>115.278 (a)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
<b>115.278 (b)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories?	yes
<b>115.278 (c)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
<b>115.278 (d)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a	yes

	condition of access to programming and other benefits?	
<b>115.278 (e)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
<b>115.278 (f)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	yes
<b>115.278 (g)</b>	<b>Disciplinary sanctions for residents</b>	
	Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.)	yes
<b>115.282 (a)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
<b>115.282 (b)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262?	yes
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.282 (c)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information	yes

	about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	
<b>115.282 (d)</b>	<b>Access to emergency medical and mental health services</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.283 (a)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
<b>115.283 (b)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
<b>115.283 (c)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
<b>115.283 (d)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
<b>115.283 (e)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive	na

	information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if “all-male” facility. Note: in “all-male” facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	
<b>115.283 (f)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
<b>115.283 (g)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
<b>115.283 (h)</b>	<b>Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers</b>	
	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners?	yes
<b>115.286 (a)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
<b>115.286 (b)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
<b>115.286 (c)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes

<b>115.286 (d)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
<b>115.286 (e)</b>	<b>Sexual abuse incident reviews</b>	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes
<b>115.287 (a)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
<b>115.287 (b)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
<b>115.287</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	

<b>(c)</b>		
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
<b>115.287 (d)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
<b>115.287 (e)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.)	yes
<b>115.287 (f)</b>	<b>Data collection</b>	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	na
<b>115.288 (a)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	yes

<b>115.288 (b)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
<b>115.288 (c)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.288 (d)</b>	<b>Data review for corrective action</b>	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
<b>115.289 (a)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely retained?	yes
<b>115.289 (b)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
<b>115.289 (c)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes
<b>115.289 (d)</b>	<b>Data storage, publication, and destruction</b>	
	Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?	yes

<b>115.401 (a)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
<b>115.401 (b)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	no
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	yes
<b>115.401 (h)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
<b>115.401 (i)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
<b>115.401 (m)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents?	yes
<b>115.401 (n)</b>	<b>Frequency and scope of audits</b>	
	Were inmates, residents, and detainees permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the	yes

	same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	
<b>115.403 (f)</b>	<b>Audit contents and findings</b>	
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	na