

**REQUEST FOR LETTERS OF INTEREST FOR BRIDGE INSPECTION SERVICES (2026-2028)
QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS**

Question:

Is there a preference on selecting one firm to perform these tasks statewide for the duration of the request, or is ARDOT considering a pool of firms to provide services?

Response:

ARDOT is considering a pool of firms to provide bridge inspection services.

Question:

Regarding inspection of sites outside of Arkansas, is there any information regarding likely fabrication sites or casting yards where this type of inspection may be requested?

Response:

Currently inspections are being conducted at the following sites outside of Arkansas:

- Princeton, LA
- Tulsa, OK
- Jackson, TN
- Oklahoma City, OK

Question:

Would teaming arrangements for specific, specialized services be considered a deficiency should a firm decide to use this type of arrangement in the LOI?

Response:

The evaluation committee will review the proposed team regardless of its makeup.

Question:

The current On-Call Bridge Inspection 2022-2026 had 7 firms shortlisted. May I get a list of all 13 firms that submitted letters of interest?

Response:

The following firms submitted a letter of interest for On-Call Bridge Inspection Services (2022-2026):

- Burgess & Niple, Inc.
- CONSOR Engineers, LLC
- Garver, LLC
- HDR Engineering, Inc.
- HNTB Corporation
- Horner & Shifrin, Inc.

**REQUEST FOR LETTERS OF INTEREST FOR BRIDGE INSPECTION SERVICES (2026-2028)
QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS**

- Michael Baker International
- Modjeski and Masters, Inc.
- Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
- Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.
- TransSystems
- TRC Engineers, Inc.
- Volkert, Inc.

Question:

Does the SF330 count toward the final page recommendation of under 30 pages?

Response:

Yes, please limit the SF330s to those individuals who will have direct participation in providing bridge inspection services.

Question:

Do we need to submit a separate SF330 for each subconsultant on our team, or just one combined with all key staff?

Response:

One SF330 combined with key staff is preferred.

Question:

Can our firm submit a proposal for certain services within the scope, or do we need to cover all services?

Response:

Specifying certain services within the scope is encouraged. There is no expectation that a single firm or agreement will cover all scopes of work.

**REQUEST FOR LETTERS OF INTEREST FOR BRIDGE INSPECTION SERVICES (2026-2028)
QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS**

Question:

Due to Thanksgiving and Christmas holidays, is it possible to extend the deadline by one week to January 12, 2026?

Response:

Unfortunately, the due date remains January 5, 2026. Consultant selection is anticipated to be a part of the February 11, 2026, Highway Commission Meeting.

Question:

"How many consultants do you expect would get awarded on this contract?"

Response:

ARDOT short-listed seven (7) firms as part of the On-Call Bridge Inspection Services (2022-2026) procurement. No fixed number of consultants are expected to be selected.

Question:

It was previously noted that the SF330 will count toward the final page recommendation. Are covers, section dividers, and required forms (Contract and Grant Disclosure Certification and Certification of Adherence to Applicable State Laws) included in the 30-page limit?

Response:

Yes.

Question:

Does ARDOT anticipate releasing an RFP to shortlisted LOI firms?

Response:

ARDOT anticipates shortlisting LOI firms and conducting interviews in lieu of requesting proposals.

Question:

Are the standard forms required with the submission to be completed and signed by the Prime only?

Response:

Standard forms will be required for the consultant and any subconsultants.

**REQUEST FOR LETTERS OF INTEREST FOR BRIDGE INSPECTION SERVICES (2026-2028)
QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS**

Question:

Are prime consultants and their sub consultants required to be prequalified with ARDOT prior to submitting a letter of interest?

Response:

No.

Question:

Can a consultant submit for a specific service, for example only geotechnical related services, and be considered for a contract?

Response:

Yes.